# Second National Juvenile Online Victimization Incidence Study (NJOV-2)

NDACAN Dataset Number 162
CODEBOOK

National Data Archive on Child Abuse and Neglect
Bronfenbrenner Center for Translational Research
Cornell University
Ithaca, New York 14853-4401
607-255-7799
ndacan@cornell.edu
www.ndacan.cornell.edu

Initial release 1/9/2012
Last Revision 1/9/2012

Data Collected by
David Finkelhor, Ph.D.
University of New Hampshire Durham, NH
Kimberly J. Mitchell, Ph.D.
University of New Hampshire Durham, NH
Janis Wolak, J.D.
University of New Hampshire Durham, NH

Funded by
National Center for Missing and Exploited Children
and the U.S. Department of
Justice, Office of Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention

Distributed by
National Data Archive on Child Abuse and Neglect

Codebook Created by
Diane Wach Miller, MSEd, LPC
National Data Archive on Child Abuse and Neglect
in collaboration with
Kimberly J. Mitchell, Ph.D.
University of New Hampshire Durham, NH

CODEBOOK: Dataset Number 159 Variable Information

The Codebook provides the following information: variable name, variable position
in the data file, variable label, variable groups, valid values, value labels, frequencies, and relevant
notes.

SEE USER'S GUIDE FOR DATASET INFORMATION AND BEFORE CONDUCTING ANALYSES.

Technical support for this dataset is provided by NDACAN.
Please send your inquiries to NDACANSUPPORT@cornell.edu

Codebook

File Information	
File Name	DS_162.sav
Label	NJOV-2: Second National Online Juvenile Victimization Study
Documents	DOCUMENT Please see the NDACAN NJOV-2 User's Guide for detailed information on this study and data file. (Entered 19-Dec-2011)
Number of Cases	Unweighted	1051

Custom Attributes	Archive	NDACAN: The National Data Archive on Child Abuse and Neglect
	ArchiveStaff	Diane Wach Miller, MSEd
	Contact	www.ndacan.cornell.edu
	Contributor[1]	David Finkelhor, PhD
	Contributor[2]	Kimberly J. Mitchell, PhD
	Contributor[3]	Janis Wolak, JD
	CreationDate	16 December 2011
	RevisionDate	n/a
	Version	1

Note: the "Number of Cases / Unweighted / 1051" and "Custom Attributes" rows form one combined table in the original.

caseID		
		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	1
	Label	unique case id number
	Type	Numeric
Custom Attributes	Section	Identification

varstrat		
		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	2
	Label	strata
	Type	Numeric
Custom Attributes	Section	Complex Sample Weights
N	Valid	1051
	Missing	0
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	1.4672
	Standard	1.03354

		Value
	Deviation	

varpsu		
		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	3
	Label	sampling unit
	Type	Numeric
Custom Attributes	Section	Complex Sample Weights
N	Valid	1051
	Missing	0
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	2198.4216
	Standard Deviation	1156.15726

finwt		
		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	4
	Label	sampling weight variable
	Type	Numeric
Custom Attributes	Section	Complex Sample Weights
N	Valid	1051
	Missing	0
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	6.6697
	Standard Deviation	5.79878

incprob		
		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	5
	Label	finite population correction
	Type	Numeric
Custom Attributes	Section	Complex Sample Weights
N	Valid	1051
	Missing	0
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	.2812
	Standard Deviation	.08869

nonirelV				
		Value	Count	Percent

Standard Attributes	Position	6		
	Label	Was there an identified V of a non Internet-related crime?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	965	91.8%
	1	Yes	83	7.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	3	.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

icac		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	7		
	Label	ICAC agency?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	919	87.4%
	1	Yes	132	12.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

affagy		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	8		
	Label	Affiliate?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	762	72.5%
	1	Yes	289	27.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

icacoraff		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	9		
	Label	ICAC or affiliated agy		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	630	59.9%

	1	Yes	421	40.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

fbi				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	10		
	Label	Is this a FBI case?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	853	81.2%
	1	Yes	198	18.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

postal				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	11		
	Label	Is this a Postal case?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	990	94.2%
	1	Yes	61	5.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

federal				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	12		
	Label	Is this a federal case (fbi and postal)?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	792	75.4%
	1	Yes	259	24.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

stcolocal

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	13		
	Label	Is this a state, county or local case? (includes affiliates)		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	421	40.1%
	1	Yes	630	59.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

stcolonotaff

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	14		
	Label	State, county or local (excludes affiliates)		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	680	64.7%
	1	Yes	371	35.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

typeagy

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	15		
	Label	Type of agency case came from		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	1	ICAC	132	12.6%
	2	Affiliate	289	27.5%
	3	Federal	259	24.6%
	4	State, county local	371	35.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

csec

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	16		
	Label	Does this case have an internet-related CSEC component?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	832	79.2%
	1	Not sure	64	6.1%
	2	Yes, trading	31	2.9%
	3	Yes, money	124	11.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

irelV				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	17		
	Label	Was there an identified V of an Internet-related crime?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	735	69.9%
	1	Yes	316	30.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P02				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	18		
	Label	LEA agent already completed survey		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	626	59.6%
	1	Yes	425	40.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P03				
		Value	Count	Percent

Standard Attributes	Position	19		
	Label	Eligible case - time frame?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	0	.0%
	1	Yes	1051	100.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P05				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	20		
	Label	Eligible case - Internet related?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	0	.0%
	1	Yes	1051	100.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P06				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	21		
	Label	Commercial component?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	877	83.4%
	1	Yes	163	15.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	11	1.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P09a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	22		
	Label	Internet initiated case?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	931	88.6%

			Count	Percent
	1	Yes	120	11.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P09b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	23		
	Label	Other SEM case?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	853	81.2%
	1	Yes	198	18.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P09c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	24		
	Label	Undercover case?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	576	54.8%
	1	Yes	475	45.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P09d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	25		
	Label	Child pornography possession case?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	441	42.0%
	1	Yes	605	57.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	5	.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P09e

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	26		
	Label	Child pornography production case?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	839	79.8%
	1	Yes	204	19.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	8	.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P09f

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	27		
	Label	Child pornography distribution to minors case?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	926	88.1%
	1	Yes	94	8.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	30	2.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

anysem

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	28		
	Label	Case involved sexual exploitation of a minor		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	735	69.9%
	1	Yes	316	30.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

casetype

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	29		

	Label	Unique case classification		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	1	SEM	318	30.3%
	2	UC	353	33.6%
	3	CP	380	36.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

anyUCsol				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	30		
	Label	O solicited UC posing as minor or adult		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	652	62.0%
	1	Yes	399	38.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

uc				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	31		
	Label	Case involved undercover operation (any including controlled delivery)		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	576	54.8%
	1	Yes	475	45.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

cpposs				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	32		
	Label	Case involved CP possession		
	Type	Numeric		

Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	446	42.4%
	1	Yes	605	57.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

cpprod				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	33		
	Label	Case involved CP production		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	847	80.6%
	1	Yes	204	19.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

onlinemtg				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	34		
	Label	Case involved online meeting		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	931	88.6%
	1	Yes	120	11.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P64				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	35		
	Label	Did your agency receive the first report on this case?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	1	Yes	380	36.2%
	2	No, other agency got first report	203	19.3%
	3	No, began with a proactive undercover investigation	467	44.4%
Missing	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%

Values	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P66				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	36		
	Label	Who made the initial report to the agency?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	1	Victim	42	4.0%
	2	Family member or friend of victim (includes ex)	161	15.3%
	3	Family member or friend of offender (includes ex)	53	5.0%
	4	Employer, co-worker, business associate of offender	22	2.1%
	5	Mandated reporter (school, social service agency, therapist)	28	2.7%
	6	Computer repair shop	32	3.0%
	7	Internet service provider	9	.9%
	8	Referral from law enforcement (includes NCMEC, probation, etc.)	128	12.2%
	9	Other (specify)	101	9.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	7	.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		468	44.5%

P69				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	37		
	Label	Were any other LEAs involved with this case?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	475	45.2%
	1	Yes	574	54.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P70a

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	38		
	Label	Was FBI involved with this case?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	465	44.2%
	1	Yes	108	10.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		477	45.4%

P70b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	39		
	Label	Was Postal involved with this case?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	560	53.3%
	1	Yes	13	1.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		477	45.4%

P70c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	40		
	Label	Was Customs involved with this case?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	510	48.5%
	1	Yes	63	6.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		477	45.4%

P70d				

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	41		
	Label	Was Secret Service involved with this case?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	565	53.8%
	1	Yes	8	.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		477	45.4%

P70e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	42		
	Label	Was ICAC Task Force involved with this case?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	535	50.9%
	1	Yes	38	3.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		477	45.4%

P70f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	43		
	Label	Was city or town police involved with this case?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	319	30.4%
	1	Yes	254	24.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		477	45.4%

P70g

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	44		
	Label	Was county police involved with this case?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	387	36.8%
	1	Yes	186	17.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		477	45.4%

P70h

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	45		
	Label	Was state police involved with this case?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	464	44.1%
	1	Yes	109	10.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		477	45.4%

P70i

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	46		
	Label	Was any other police agency involved with this case?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	471	44.8%
	1	Yes	102	9.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		477	45.4%

P79

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	47		
	Label	Was the offender arrested by any other jurisdictions on charges related to this crime?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Case Report Information		
Valid Values	0	No	885	84.2%
	1	Yes	162	15.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P10

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	48		
	Label	How many offenders?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
N	Valid	973		
	Missing	78		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	1.08		
	Standard Deviation	.417		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P11a

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	49		
	Label	Offender 1 - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	1039	98.9%
	2	Female	12	1.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P11b

			Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position		50		
	Label	Offender 1 - age			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics			
N	Valid		1050		
	Missing		1		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean		36.61		
	Standard Deviation		13.133		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%	
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%	
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%	

P11c					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	51			
	Label	Offender 1 - ethnicity hispanic or latino			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics			
Valid Values	0	No		979	93.1%
	1	Yes		69	6.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		3	.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		0	.0%
	99	Not applicable		0	.0%

P11d					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	52			
	Label	Offender 1 - race			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics			
Valid Values	1	White		972	92.5%
	2	Black		41	3.9%
	3	Asian		18	1.7%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native		3	.3%
	5	Mixed race		2	.2%
	6	Other race		10	1.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		5	.5%

	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P11e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	53		
	Label	Offender 1 - citizenship		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	1	US citizen	1014	96.5%
	2	Non-US citizen	30	2.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	6	.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P11f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	54		
	Label	Offender 1 - more offenders?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	994	94.6%
	1	Yes	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P13a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	55		
	Label	Offender 2 - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	43	4.1%
	2	Female	14	1.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		994	94.6%

P13b				
		Value	Count	Percent

Standard Attributes	Position	56		
	Label	Offender 2 - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
N	Valid	56		
	Missing	995		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	33.48		
	Standard Deviation	13.524		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P13c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	57		
	Label	Offender 2 - ethnicity hispanic or latino		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	51	4.9%
	1	Yes	5	.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		994	94.6%

P13d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	58		
	Label	Offender 2 - race		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	1	White	47	4.5%
	2	Black	7	.7%
	3	Asian	1	.1%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native	1	.1%
	5	Mixed race	0	.0%
	6	Other race	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%

99	Not applicable		0	.0%
System			994	94.6%

P13e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	59		
	Label	Offender 2 - more offenders?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	41	3.9%
	1	Yes	16	1.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		994	94.6%

P14a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	60		
	Label	Offender 3 - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	13	1.2%
	2	Female	3	.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1035	98.5%

P14b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	61		
	Label	Offender 3 - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
N	Valid	16		
	Missing	1035		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	28.31		
	Standard Deviation	9.464		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%

99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P14c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	62		
	Label	Offender 3 - ethnicity hispanic or latino		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	14	1.3%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1035	98.5%

P14d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	63		
	Label	Offender 3 - race		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	1	White	14	1.3%
	2	Black	1	.1%
	3	Asian	0	.0%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native	0	.0%
	5	Mixed race	1	.1%
	6	Other race	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1035	98.5%

P14e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	64		
	Label	Offender 3 - more offenders?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	10	1.0%
	1	Yes	6	.6%

Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1035	98.5%

P15a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	65		
	Label	Offender 4 - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	5	.5%
	2	Female	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1045	99.4%

P15b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	66		
	Label	Offender 4 - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
N	Valid	6		
	Missing	1045		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	27.50		
	Standard Deviation	11.537		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P15c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	67		
	Label	Offender 4 - ethnicity hispanic or latino		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	5	.5%

	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1045	99.4%

P15d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	68		
	Label	Offender 4 - race		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	1	White	5	.5%
	2	Black	1	.1%
	3	Asian	0	.0%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native	0	.0%
	5	Mixed race	0	.0%
	6	Other race	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1045	99.4%

P15e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	69		
	Label	Offender 4 - more offenders?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	4	.4%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1045	99.4%

P16a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	70		
	Label	Offender 5 - sex		
	Type	Numeric		

Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	1	.1%
	2	Female	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1049	99.8%

P16b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	71		
	Label	Offender 5 - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
N	Valid	2		
	Missing	1049		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	30.50		
	Standard Deviation	13.435		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P16c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	72		
	Label	Offender 5 - ethnicity hispanic or latino		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	2	.2%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1049	99.8%

P16d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	73		
	Label	Offender 5 - race		
	Type	Numeric		

Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	1	White	2	.2%
	2	Black	0	.0%
	3	Asian	0	.0%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native	0	.0%
	5	Mixed race	0	.0%
	6	Other race	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1049	99.8%

P16e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	74		
	Label	Offender 5 - more offenders?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	1	.1%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1049	99.8%

P17a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	75		
	Label	Offender 6 - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	1	.1%
	2	Female	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1050	99.9%

P17b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	76		

	Label	Offender 6 - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
N	Valid	1		
	Missing	1050		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	45.00		
	Standard Deviation	.		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P17c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	77		
	Label	Offender 6 - ethnicity hispanic or latino		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	1	.1%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1050	99.9%

P17d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	78		
	Label	Offender 6 - race		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	1	White	1	.1%
	2	Black	0	.0%
	3	Asian	0	.0%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native	0	.0%
	5	Mixed race	0	.0%
	6	Other race	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

System			1050	99.9%

P17e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	79		
	Label	Offender 6 - more offenders?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	0	.0%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1050	99.9%

P18a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	80		
	Label	Offender 7 - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	1	.1%
	2	Female	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1050	99.9%

P18b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	81		
	Label	Offender 7 - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
N	Valid	1		
	Missing	1050		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	50.00		
	Standard Deviation	.		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P18c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	82		
	Label	Offender 7 - ethnicity hispanic or latino		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	1	.1%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1050	99.9%

P18d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	83		
	Label	Offender 7 - race		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	1	White	1	.1%
	2	Black	0	.0%
	3	Asian	0	.0%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native	0	.0%
	5	Mixed race	0	.0%
	6	Other race	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1050	99.9%

P18e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	84		
	Label	Offender 7 - more offenders?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	0	.0%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%

			Count	Percent
98	Not ascertainable/Refused		0	.0%
99	Not applicable		0	.0%
System			1050	99.9%

P19a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	85		
	Label	Offender 8 - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	1	.1%
	2	Female	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1050	99.9%

P19b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	86		
	Label	Offender 8 - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
N	Valid	1		
	Missing	1050		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	70.00		
	Standard Deviation	.		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P19c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	87		
	Label	Offender 8 - ethnicity hispanic or latino		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	1	.1%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%

98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
99	Not applicable	0	.0%
System		1050	99.9%

P19d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	88		
	Label	Offender 8 - race		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	1	White	1	.1%
	2	Black	0	.0%
	3	Asian	0	.0%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native	0	.0%
	5	Mixed race	0	.0%
	6	Other race	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1050	99.9%

P19e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	89		
	Label	Offender 8 - more offenders?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	1	.1%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1050	99.9%

P25				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	90		
	Label	Primary offender - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	52	4.9%

		Value	Count	Percent
	2	Female	5	.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		994	94.6%

Osex				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	91		
	Label	Sole or primary O - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	1040	99.0%
	2	Female	11	1.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P26				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	92		
	Label	Primary offender - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Younger than 16	1	.1%
	2	16-17 yrs old	1	.1%
	3	18-21 yrs old	6	.6%
	4	22-25 yrs old	7	.7%
	5	26-29 yrs old	6	.6%
	6	30-39 yrs old	18	1.7%
	7	40-49 yrs old	13	1.2%
	8	50-59 yrs old	3	.3%
	9	60 or older	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		994	94.6%

Oage				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	93		
	Label	Sole or primary O - age		
	Type	Numeric		

Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Younger than 16	12	1.1%
	2	16-17 yrs old	24	2.3%
	3	18-21 yrs old	82	7.8%
	4	22-25 yrs old	145	13.8%
	5	26-29 yrs old	121	11.5%
	6	30-39 yrs old	241	22.9%
	7	40-49 yrs old	238	22.6%
	8	50-59 yrs old	131	12.5%
	9	60 or older	56	5.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

Oethnicity					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	94			
	Label	Oethnicity1 Oethnicity2 'Sole or Primary O - ethnicity is Hispanic or Latino'			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics			
Valid Values	0	No		979	93.1%
	1	Yes		67	6.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		1	.1%
	99	Not applicable		0	.0%

Orace					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	95			
	Label	Sole or primary O - race			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics			
Valid Values	1	White		971	92.4%
	2	Black		42	4.0%
	3	Asian		18	1.7%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native		3	.3%
	5	Mixed race		2	.2%
	6	Other race		10	1.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		4	.4%

| 98 | Not ascertainable/Refused | 1 | .1% |
| 99 | Not applicable | 0 | .0% |

Ononhwhite				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	96		
	Label	O was white, non-hispanic		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	142	13.5%
	1	Yes	909	86.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P27				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	97		
	Label	Primary O Citizenship, coded from verbatim		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	1	US citizen	43	4.1%
	2	Non-US citizen	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1007	95.8%

Ocitz				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	98		
	Label	Sole or primary O citizenship		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Demographics		
Valid Values	1	US	1015	96.6%
	2	Not US	30	2.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	6	.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P29				

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	99		
	Label	Did the child pornography production or distribution involve identified victims?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	9	.9%
	1	Yes	204	19.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		838	79.7%

P31a

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	100		
	Label	Victim 1 (P) - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	39	3.7%
	2	Female	165	15.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

P31b

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	101		
	Label	Victim 1 (P) - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
N	Valid	202		
	Missing	849		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	11.75		
	Standard Deviation	4.026		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P31c

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	102		
	Label	Victim 1 (P) - ethnicity hispanic or latino		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	191	18.2%
	1	Yes	11	1.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

P31d

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	103		
	Label	Victim 1 (P) - race		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	White	189	18.0%
	2	Black	8	.8%
	3	Asian	1	.1%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native	0	.0%
	5	Mixed race	1	.1%
	6	Other race	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

P31e

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	104		
	Label	Victim 1 (P) - citizenship		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	US citizen	197	18.7%
	2	Non-US citizen	4	.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%

P31f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	105		
	Label	Victim 1 (P) - more victims?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	126	12.0%
	1	Yes	78	7.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

P33a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	106		
	Label	Victim 2 - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	21	2.0%
	2	Female	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		973	92.6%

P33b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	107		
	Label	Victim 2 - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
N	Valid	75		
	Missing	976		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	11.92		
	Standard Deviation	3.212		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	3	.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%

				99	Not applicable		0	.0%

P33c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	108		
	Label	Victim 2 - ethnicity hispanic or latino		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	73	6.9%
	1	Yes	5	.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		973	92.6%

P33d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	109		
	Label	Victim 2 - race		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	White	71	6.8%
	2	Black	4	.4%
	3	Asian	2	.2%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native	0	.0%
	5	Mixed race	0	.0%
	6	Other race	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		973	92.6%

P33e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	110		
	Label	Victim 2 - more victims?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	38	3.6%
	1	Yes	40	3.8%

Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		973	92.6%

P34a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	111		
	Label	Victim 3 - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	12	1.1%
	2	Female	28	2.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1011	96.2%

P34b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	112		
	Label	Victim 3 - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
N	Valid	38		
	Missing	1013		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	11.32		
	Standard Deviation	4.748		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P34c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	113		
	Label	Victim 3 - ethnicity hispanic or latino		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	35	3.3%
	1	Yes	4	.4%

Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1011	96.2%

P34d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	114		
	Label	Victim 3 - race		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	White	35	3.3%
	2	Black	2	.2%
	3	Asian	0	.0%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native	0	.0%
	5	Mixed race	1	.1%
	6	Other race	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1011	96.2%

P34e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	115		
	Label	Victim 3 - more victims?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	15	1.4%
	1	Yes	25	2.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1011	96.2%

P35a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	116		
	Label	Victim 4 - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		

Valid Values	1	Male	9	.9%
	2	Female	16	1.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1026	97.6%

P35b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	117		
	Label	Victim 4 - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
N	Valid	23		
	Missing	1028		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	12.74		
	Standard Deviation	4.423		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P35c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	118		
	Label	Victim 4 - ethnicity hispanic or latino		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	22	2.1%
	1	Yes	3	.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1026	97.6%

P35d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	119		
	Label	Victim 4 - race		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom	Section	Victim Demographics		

Attributes					
Valid Values	1	White		22	2.1%
	2	Black		1	.1%
	3	Asian		1	.1%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native		0	.0%
	5	Mixed race		1	.1%
	6	Other race		0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		0	.0%
	99	Not applicable		0	.0%
	System			1026	97.6%

P35e					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	120			
	Label	Victim 4 - more victims?			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics			
Valid Values	0	No		11	1.0%
	1	Yes		14	1.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		0	.0%
	99	Not applicable		0	.0%
	System			1026	97.6%

P36a					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	121			
	Label	Victim 5 - sex			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics			
Valid Values	1	Male		4	.4%
	2	Female		10	1.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		0	.0%
	99	Not applicable		0	.0%
	System			1037	98.7%

P36b					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes		Position	122		
		Label	Victim 5 - age		

	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics			
N	Valid	12			
	Missing	1039			
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	11.92			
	Standard Deviation	4.852			
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%	
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%	
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%	

P36c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	123		
	Label	Victim 5 - ethnicity hispanic or latino		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	14	1.3%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1037	98.7%

P36d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	124		
	Label	Victim 5 - race		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	White	11	1.0%
	2	Black	1	.1%
	3	Asian	1	.1%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native	1	.1%
	5	Mixed race	0	.0%
	6	Other race	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

System			1037	98.7%

P36e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	125		
	Label	Victim 5 - more victims?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	2	.2%
	1	Yes	12	1.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1037	98.7%

P37a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	126		
	Label	Victim 6 - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	3	.3%
	2	Female	9	.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1039	98.9%

P37b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	127		
	Label	Victim 6 - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
N	Valid	10		
	Missing	1041		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	12.00		
	Standard Deviation	3.432		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

		P37c		
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	128		
	Label	Victim 6 - ethnicity hispanic or latino		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	11	1.0%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1039	98.9%

		P37d		
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	129		
	Label	Victim 6 - race		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	White	10	1.0%
	2	Black	1	.1%
	3	Asian	1	.1%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native	0	.0%
	5	Mixed race	0	.0%
	6	Other race	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1039	98.9%

		P37e		
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	130		
	Label	Victim 6 - more victims?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	4	.4%
	1	Yes	8	.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%

			Count	Percent
98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
99	Not applicable	0	.0%
System		1039	98.9%

P38a

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	131		
	Label	Victim 7 - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	2	.2%
	2	Female	6	.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1043	99.2%

P38b

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	132		
	Label	Victim 7 - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
N	Valid	7		
	Missing	1044		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	12.43		
	Standard Deviation	4.860		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P38c

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	133		
	Label	Victim 7 - ethnicity hispanic or latino		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	7	.7%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%

			Count	Percent
98	Not ascertainable/Refused		0	.0%
99	Not applicable		0	.0%
System			1043	99.2%

P38d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	134		
	Label	Victim 7 - race		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	White	7	.7%
	2	Black	0	.0%
	3	Asian	1	.1%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native	0	.0%
	5	Mixed race	0	.0%
	6	Other race	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1043	99.2%

P38e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	135		
	Label	Victim 7 - more victims?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	0	.0%
	1	Yes	8	.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1043	99.2%

P39a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	136		
	Label	Victim 8 - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	2	.2%

	2	Female	6	.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1043	99.2%

P39b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	137		
	Label	Victim 8 - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
N	Valid	6		
	Missing	1045		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	14.67		
	Standard Deviation	2.066		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P39c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	138		
	Label	Victim 8 - ethnicity hispanic or latino		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	7	.7%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1043	99.2%

P39d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	139		
	Label	Victim 8 - race		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		

Valid Values	1	White	7	.7%
	2	Black	0	.0%
	3	Asian	1	.1%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native	0	.0%
	5	Mixed race	0	.0%
	6	Other race	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1043	99.2%

P39e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	140		
	Label	Victim 8 - more victims?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	2	.2%
	1	Yes	6	.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1043	99.2%

P40a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	141		
	Label	Victim 9 - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	2	.2%
	2	Female	4	.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1045	99.4%

P40b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	142		
	Label	Victim 9 - age		
	Type	Numeric		

Custom Attributes		Section	Victim Demographics		
N		Valid	4		
		Missing	1047		
Central Tendency and Dispersion		Mean	13.75		
		Standard Deviation	2.062		
Labeled Values		97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
		98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
		99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P40c					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	143			
	Label	Victim 9 - ethnicity hispanic or latino			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics			
Valid Values	0	No		5	.5%
	1	Yes		1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		0	.0%
	99	Not applicable		0	.0%
	System			1045	99.4%

P40d					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	144			
	Label	Victim 9 - race			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics			
Valid Values	1	White		5	.5%
	2	Black		1	.1%
	3	Asian		0	.0%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native		0	.0%
	5	Mixed race		0	.0%
	6	Other race		0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		0	.0%
	99	Not applicable		0	.0%
	System			1045	99.4%

P40e

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	145		
	Label	Victim 9 - more victims?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	1	.1%
	1	Yes	5	.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1045	99.4%

P41a

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	146		
	Label	Victim 10 - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	1	.1%
	2	Female	4	.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1046	99.5%

P41b

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	147		
	Label	Victim 10 - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
N	Valid	3		
	Missing	1048		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	13.67		
	Standard Deviation	3.512		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P41c

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	148		
	Label	Victim 10 - ethnicity hispanic or latino		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	5	.5%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1046	99.5%

P41d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	149		
	Label	Victim 10 - race		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	White	4	.4%
	2	Black	0	.0%
	3	Asian	1	.1%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native	0	.0%
	5	Mixed race	0	.0%
	6	Other race	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1046	99.5%

P41e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	150		
	Label	Victim 10 - more victims?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	1	.1%
	1	Yes	4	.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

System		1046	99.5%

P42a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	151		
	Label	Victim 11 - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	2	.2%
	2	Female	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1047	99.6%

P42b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	152		
	Label	Victim 11 - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
N	Valid	2		
	Missing	1049		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	11.50		
	Standard Deviation	3.536		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P42c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	153		
	Label	Victim 11 - ethnicity hispanic or latino		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	4	.4%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

System			1047	99.6%

P42d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	154		
	Label	Victim 11 - race		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	White	3	.3%
	2	Black	0	.0%
	3	Asian	1	.1%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native	0	.0%
	5	Mixed race	0	.0%
	6	Other race	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1047	99.6%

P42e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	155		
	Label	Victim 11 - more victims?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	0	.0%
	1	Yes	4	.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1047	99.6%

P43a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	156		
	Label	Victim 12 - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	2	.2%
	2	Female	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%

98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
99	Not applicable	0	.0%
System		1047	99.6%

P43b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	157		
	Label	Victim 12 - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
N	Valid	2		
	Missing	1049		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	11.00		
	Standard Deviation	2.828		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P43c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	158		
	Label	Victim 12 - ethnicity hispanic or latino		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	4	.4%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1047	99.6%

P43d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	159		
	Label	Victim 12 - race		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	White	3	.3%
	2	Black	0	.0%

	3	Asian	1	.1%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native	0	.0%
	5	Mixed race	0	.0%
	6	Other race	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1047	99.6%

P44				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	160		
	Label	Did the crime involve any other identified minors?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	929	88.4%
	1	Yes	121	11.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P46a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	161		
	Label	Victim 13 (P) - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	23	2.2%
	2	Female	98	9.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		930	88.5%

P46b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	162		
	Label	Victim 13 (P) - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
N	Valid	121		

	Missing		930		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean		13.72		
	Standard Deviation		2.501		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		0	.0%
	99	Not applicable		0	.0%

P46c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	163		
	Label	Victim 13 (P) - ethnicity hispanic or latino		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	113	10.8%
	1	Yes	7	.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		930	88.5%

P46d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	164		
	Label	Victim 13 (P) - race		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	White	106	10.1%
	2	Black	6	.6%
	3	Asian	2	.2%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native	3	.3%
	5	Mixed race	3	.3%
	6	Other race	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		930	88.5%

P46e		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	165		
	Label	Victim 13 (P) - citizenship		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	US citizen	119	11.3%
	2	Non-US citizen	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		930	88.5%

P46f		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	166		
	Label	Victim 13 (P) - more victims?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	89	8.5%
	1	Yes	32	3.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		930	88.5%

P48a		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	167		
	Label	Victim 14 - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	6	.6%
	2	Female	26	2.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1019	97.0%

P48b		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes		Position	168	

	Label	Victim 14 - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
N	Valid	32		
	Missing	1019		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	13.03		
	Standard Deviation	2.978		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P48c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	169		
	Label	Victim 14 - ethnicity hispanic or latino		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	29	2.8%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1019	97.0%

P48d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	170		
	Label	Victim 14 - race		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	White	27	2.6%
	2	Black	4	.4%
	3	Asian	0	.0%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native	0	.0%
	5	Mixed race	0	.0%
	6	Other race	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

System		1019	97.0%

P48e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	171		
	Label	Victim 14 - more victims?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	18	1.7%
	1	Yes	14	1.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1019	97.0%

P49a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	172		
	Label	Victim 15 - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	3	.3%
	2	Female	11	1.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1037	98.7%

P49b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	173		
	Label	Victim 15 - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
N	Valid	14		
	Missing	1037		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	12.29		
	Standard Deviation	3.384		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P49c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	174		
	Label	Victim 15 - ethnicity hispanic or latino		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	13	1.2%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1037	98.7%

P49d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	175		
	Label	Victim 15 - race		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	White	11	1.0%
	2	Black	2	.2%
	3	Asian	0	.0%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native	0	.0%
	5	Mixed race	0	.0%
	6	Other race	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1037	98.7%

P49e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	176		
	Label	Victim 15 - more victims?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	2	.2%
	1	Yes	12	1.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%

			Count	Percent
98	Not ascertainable/Refused		0	.0%
99	Not applicable		0	.0%
System			1037	98.7%

P50a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	177		
	Label	Victim 16 - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	4	.4%
	2	Female	8	.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1039	98.9%

P50b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	178		
	Label	Victim 16 - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
N	Valid	12		
	Missing	1039		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	12.17		
	Standard Deviation	3.973		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P50c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	179		
	Label	Victim 16 - ethnicity hispanic or latino		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	11	1.0%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%

			98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
			99	Not applicable	0	.0%
			System		1039	98.9%

P50d					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	180			
	Label	Victim 16 - race			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics			
Valid Values	1	White		11	1.0%
	2	Black		0	.0%
	3	Asian		0	.0%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native		0	.0%
	5	Mixed race		0	.0%
	6	Other race		0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		0	.0%
	99	Not applicable		0	.0%
	System			1039	98.9%

P50e					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	181			
	Label	Victim 16 - more victims?			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics			
Valid Values	0	No		6	.6%
	1	Yes		6	.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		0	.0%
	99	Not applicable		0	.0%
	System			1039	98.9%

P51a					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	182			
	Label	Victim 17 - sex			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics			
Valid Values	1	Male		2	.2%

	2	Female	4	.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1045	99.4%

P51b			Count	Percent
		Value		
Standard Attributes	Position	183		
	Label	Victim 17 - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
N	Valid	6		
	Missing	1045		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	12.67		
	Standard Deviation	2.875		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P51c			Count	Percent
		Value		
Standard Attributes	Position	184		
	Label	Victim 17 - ethnicity hispanic or latino		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	5	.5%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1045	99.4%

P51d			Count	Percent
		Value		
Standard Attributes	Position	185		
	Label	Victim 17 - race		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		

Valid Values	1	White	5	.5%
	2	Black	0	.0%
	3	Asian	0	.0%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native	0	.0%
	5	Mixed race	0	.0%
	6	Other race	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1045	99.4%

P51e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	186		
	Label	Victim 17 - more victims?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	2	.2%
	1	Yes	4	.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1045	99.4%

P52a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	187		
	Label	Victim 18 - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	1	.1%
	2	Female	3	.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1047	99.6%

P52b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	188		
	Label	Victim 18 - age		
	Type	Numeric		

Custom Attributes		Section	Victim Demographics		
N		Valid	4		
		Missing	1047		
Central Tendency and Dispersion		Mean	10.00		
		Standard Deviation	4.320		
Labeled Values		97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
		98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
		99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P52c					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	189			
	Label	Victim 18 - ethnicity hispanic or latino			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics			
Valid Values	0	No		3	.3%
	1	Yes		0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		0	.0%
	99	Not applicable		0	.0%
	System			1047	99.6%

P52d					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	190			
	Label	Victim 18 - race			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics			
Valid Values	1	White		3	.3%
	2	Black		0	.0%
	3	Asian		0	.0%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native		0	.0%
	5	Mixed race		0	.0%
	6	Other race		0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		0	.0%
	99	Not applicable		0	.0%
	System			1047	99.6%

P52e			Count	Percent
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	191		
	Label	Victim 18 - more victims?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	0	.0%
	1	Yes	4	.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1047	99.6%

P53a			Count	Percent
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	192		
	Label	Victim 19 - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	1	.1%
	2	Female	3	.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1047	99.6%

P53b			Count	Percent
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	193		
	Label	Victim 19 - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
N	Valid	4		
	Missing	1047		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	10.00		
	Standard Deviation	4.830		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P53c

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	194		
	Label	Victim 19 - ethnicity hispanic or latino		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	3	.3%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1047	99.6%

P53d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	195		
	Label	Victim 19 - race		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	White	3	.3%
	2	Black	0	.0%
	3	Asian	0	.0%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native	0	.0%
	5	Mixed race	0	.0%
	6	Other race	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1047	99.6%

P53e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	196		
	Label	Victim 19 - more victims?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	2	.2%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

System			1047	99.6%

P54a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	197		
	Label	Victim 20 - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	0	.0%
	2	Female	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1049	99.8%

P54b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	198		
	Label	Victim 20 - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
N	Valid	2		
	Missing	1049		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	13.00		
	Standard Deviation	2.828		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P54c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	199		
	Label	Victim 20 - ethnicity hispanic or latino		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	1	.1%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

System			1049	99.8%

P54d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	200		
	Label	Victim 20 - race		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	White	1	.1%
	2	Black	0	.0%
	3	Asian	0	.0%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native	0	.0%
	5	Mixed race	0	.0%
	6	Other race	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1049	99.8%

P54e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	201		
	Label	Victim 20 - more victims?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	1	.1%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1049	99.8%

P55a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	202		
	Label	Victim 21 - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	0	.0%
	2	Female	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%

	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1050	99.9%

P55b					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	203			
	Label	Victim 21 - age			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics			
N	Valid	1			
	Missing	1050			
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	11.00			
	Standard Deviation	.			
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		0	.0%
	99	Not applicable		0	.0%

P55c					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	204			
	Label	Victim 21 - ethnicity hispanic or latino			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics			
Valid Values	0	No		0	.0%
	1	Yes		0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		0	.0%
	99	Not applicable		0	.0%
	System			1050	99.9%

P55d					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	205			
	Label	Victim 21 - race			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics			
Valid Values	1	White		0	.0%
	2	Black		0	.0%

	3	Asian	0	.0%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native	0	.0%
	5	Mixed race	0	.0%
	6	Other race	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1050	99.9%

P55e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	206		
	Label	Victim 21 - more victims?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	0	.0%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1050	99.9%

P56a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	207		
	Label	Victim 22 - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	0	.0%
	2	Female	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1050	99.9%

P56b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	208		
	Label	Victim 22 - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
N	Valid	1		

	Missing	1050		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	11.00		
	Standard Deviation	.		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P56c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	209		
	Label	Victim 22 - ethnicity hispanic or latino		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	0	.0%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1050	99.9%

P56d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	210		
	Label	Victim 22 - race		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	White	0	.0%
	2	Black	0	.0%
	3	Asian	0	.0%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native	0	.0%
	5	Mixed race	0	.0%
	6	Other race	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1050	99.9%

P56e				

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	211		
	Label	Victim 22 - more victims?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	0	.0%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1050	99.9%

P57a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	212		
	Label	Victim 23 - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	0	.0%
	2	Female	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1050	99.9%

P57b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	213		
	Label	Victim 23 - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
N	Valid	1		
	Missing	1050		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	11.00		
	Standard Deviation	.		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P57c				
		Value	Count	Percent

Standard Attributes	Position		214		
	Label	Victim 23 - ethnicity hispanic or latino			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics			
Valid Values	0	No	0	.0%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1050	99.9%

P57d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	215		
	Label	Victim 23 - race		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	White	0	.0%
	2	Black	0	.0%
	3	Asian	0	.0%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native	0	.0%
	5	Mixed race	0	.0%
	6	Other race	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1050	99.9%

P57e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	216		
	Label	Victim 23 - more victims?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	0	.0%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1050	99.9%

P58a

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	217		
	Label	Victim 24 - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	0	.0%
	2	Female	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1050	99.9%

P58b

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	218		
	Label	Victim 24 - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
N	Valid	1		
	Missing	1050		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	11.00		
	Standard Deviation	.		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

P58c

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	219		
	Label	Victim 24 - ethnicity hispanic or latino		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	0	.0%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1050	99.9%

P58d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	220		
	Label	Victim 24 - race		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	White	0	.0%
	2	Black	0	.0%
	3	Asian	0	.0%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native	0	.0%
	5	Mixed race	0	.0%
	6	Other race	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1050	99.9%

multiVs				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	221		
	Label	Case has > 1 V		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	204	19.4%
	1	Yes	112	10.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

P59				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	222		
	Label	Primary victim - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	Male	25	2.4%
	2	Female	87	8.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%

| 99 | Not applicable | 0 | .0% |
| System | | 939 | 89.3% |

Vsex				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	223		
	Label	Sole or primary V - sex		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	0	.0%
	1	Yes	57	5.4%
	2		259	24.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

P60				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	224		
	Label	Primary victim - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1.0	1 year old or younger	1	.1%
	2.0	2 yrs old	1	.1%
	3.0	3 yrs old	1	.1%
	4.0	4 yrs old	3	.3%
	5.0	5 yrs old	5	.5%
	6.0	6 yrs old	6	.6%
	7.0	7 yrs old	2	.2%
	8.0	8 yrs old	6	.6%
	9.0	9 yrs old	3	.3%
	10.0	10 yrs old	5	.5%
	11.0	11 yrs old	7	.7%
	12.0	12 yrs old	16	1.5%
	13.0	13 yrs old	11	1.0%
	14.0	14 yrs old	14	1.3%
	15.0	15 yrs old	17	1.6%
	16.0	16 yrs old	8	.8%
	17.0	17 yrs old	6	.6%
Missing Values	97.0	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98.0	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99.0	Not applicable	0	.0%

System			939	89.3%

Vage				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	225		
	Label	Sole or primary V - age		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	1 year old or younger	2	.2%
	2	2 yrs old	3	.3%
	3	3 yrs old	2	.2%
	4	4 yrs old	7	.7%
	5	5 yrs old	9	.9%
	6	6 yrs old	8	.8%
	7	7 yrs old	6	.6%
	8	8 yrs old	15	1.4%
	9	9 yrs old	5	.5%
	10	10 yrs old	11	1.0%
	11	11 yrs old	10	1.0%
	12	12 yrs old	23	2.2%
	13	13 yrs old	52	4.9%
	14	14 yrs old	61	5.8%
	15	15 yrs old	52	4.9%
	16	16 yrs old	34	3.2%
	17	17 yrs old	15	1.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

Vethnicity				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	226		
	Label	Sole or Primary V - ethnicity is Hispanic or Latino		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	0	No	289	27.5%
	1	Yes	17	1.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	9	.9%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

Vrace

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	227		
	Label	Sole or primary V - race		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	White	282	26.8%
	2	Black	12	1.1%
	3	Asian	3	.3%
	4	Native American or Alaskan Native	3	.3%
	5	Mixed race	4	.4%
	6	Other race	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	8	.8%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

P61

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	228		
	Label	Primary V Citizenship, coded from verbatim		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Demographics		
Valid Values	1	US citizen	94	8.9%
	2	Non-US citizen	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		956	91.0%

SEM01

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	229		
	Label	How did the offender and victim first meet?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	1	On the Internet/a brief meeting	120	11.4%

	2	Offender is a family member	84	8.0%
	3	Through a friend or family member	57	5.4%
	4	The victim's school	8	.8%
	5	An activity	16	1.5%
	6	The offender's job	10	1.0%
	7	The victim's job	1	.1%
	8	Other	16	1.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

SEM02				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	230		
	Label	What was the relationship between the offender and victim before the crime?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	1	Family members (including parent's intimate partner if living in household)	0	.0%
	2	Acquaintances (neighbors, teachers, coaches, peers)	92	8.8%
	3	Stranger (no contact at all before crime)	12	1.1%
	4	Something else (specify)	5	.5%
	5	Pimp	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		939	89.3%

SEM03K				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	231		
	Label	Other relationship between O and V		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	1	Family members (including parent's intimate partner if living in household)	1	.1%
	2	Acquaintances (neighbors, teachers, coaches, peers)	13	1.2%

	3	Stranger (no contact at all before crime)	3	.3%
	4	Something else (specify)	0	.0%
	5	Pimp	6	.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1028	97.8%

SEM04				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	232		
	Label	Did the offender and victim use the Internet or other digital communications to communicate with each other during the course of this crime?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	112	10.7%
	1	Yes	66	6.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	13	1.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		855	81.4%

SEM05				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	233		
	Label	Where did the offender and victim first meet (or interact) online?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	1	Through messages on agent's social networking site	36	3.4%
	2	Through messages on offender's social networking site	6	.6%
	3	In a chat room	51	4.9%
	4	Through instant messages	12	1.1%
	5	Through e-mail	24	2.3%
	6	Through an online want ad, like Craig's List	2	.2%
	7	Through another type of online bulletin or message board	2	.2%
	8	Online gaming site	6	.6%

	9	Some other way (specify)	25	2.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	16	1.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	6	.6%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		865	82.3%

SEM07				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	234		
	Label	Was this a sexually oriented site?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	86	8.2%
	1	Yes	12	1.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	8	.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		945	89.9%

SEM08a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	235		
	Label	Did the offender and victim communicate through messages on the victims social networking site?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	110	10.5%
	1	Yes	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	16	1.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		865	82.3%

SEM08b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	236		
	Label	Did the offender and victim communicate through messages on the offenders social networking site?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		

Valid Values	0	No	115	10.9%
	1	Yes	51	4.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	17	1.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		865	82.3%

SEM08c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	237		
	Label	Did the offender and victim communicate through chatrooms?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	114	10.8%
	1	Yes	54	5.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	14	1.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		865	82.3%

SEM08d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	238		
	Label	Did the offender and victim communicate through instant messages?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	78	7.4%
	1	Yes	94	8.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	11	1.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		865	82.3%

SEM08e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	239		
	Label	Did the offender and victim communicate through email?		
	Type	Numeric		

Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	74	7.0%
	1	Yes	96	9.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	13	1.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		865	82.3%

SEM08f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	240		
	Label	Did the offender and victim communicate through online bulletin or message boards?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	169	16.1%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	14	1.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		865	82.3%

SEM08g				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	241		
	Label	Did the offender and victim communicate through text messaging via cell phone?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	99	9.4%
	1	Yes	72	6.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	13	1.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		865	82.3%

SEM08h				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	242		
	Label	Did the offender and victim		

		communicate through internet video communications?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	141	13.4%
	1	Yes	32	3.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	10	1.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		865	82.3%

SEM08i				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	243		
	Label	Did the offender and victim communicate some other way?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	143	13.6%
	1	Yes	32	3.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	8	.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		865	82.3%

timecom_r				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	244		
	Label	How long did the offender and victim communicate?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	1	1 day or less	6	.6%
	2	>1 to 7 days	14	1.3%
	3	>1 wk to 1 month	24	2.3%
	4	>1 to 6 months	72	6.9%
	5	>6 to 12 months	30	2.9%
	6	>1 to 3 years	15	1.4%
	7	>3 to 5 years	3	.3%
	8	>5 years	3	.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	11	1.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	8	.8%

99	Not applicable	0	.0%
System		865	82.3%

SEM10				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	245		
	Label	How many online interactions were there between the offender and victim?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	1	1	3	.3%
	2	2-5	14	1.3%
	3	6-10	14	1.3%
	4	11-20	15	1.4%
	5	21-30	18	1.7%
	6	31-100	34	3.2%
	7	More than 100	32	3.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	53	5.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		865	82.3%

SEM11				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	246		
	Label	At any point did the Offender deceive the victim about his age?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	64	6.1%
	1	Yes	52	4.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		931	88.6%

SEM12K				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	247		
	Label	Said age 17 or younger		
	Type	Numeric		

Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	21	2.0%
	1	Yes	23	2.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	8	.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		999	95.1%

SEM13				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	248		
	Label	At any point did the Offender deceive the victim about his physical appearance (beyond age)?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	96	9.1%
	1	Yes	17	1.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	7	.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		931	88.6%

SEM15				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	249		
	Label	At any point did the Offender deceive the victim about any other aspects of himself such as employment or residence?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	95	9.0%
	1	Yes	21	2.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		931	88.6%

SEM17				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard	Position	250		

Attributes	Label	At any point did the Offender deceive the victim about his sexual motives?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	88	8.4%
	1	Yes	27	2.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	5	.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		931	88.6%

SEM19				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	251		
	Label	At any point did the Offender deceive the victim about anything else?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	105	10.0%
	1	Yes	9	.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	6	.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		931	88.6%

SEM21				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	252		
	Label	At any point did the Victim deceive the offender about his or her age?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	85	8.1%
	1	Yes	29	2.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	6	.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		931	88.6%

SEM22				
		Value	Count	Percent

Standard Attributes	Position	253		
	Label	What age did the Victim pretend to be?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	1	Younger than 10 yrs old	0	.0%
	2	10-12 yrs old	0	.0%
	3	13 yrs old	0	.0%
	4	14 yrs old	3	.3%
	5	15 yrs old	3	.3%
	6	16 yrs old	6	.6%
	7	17 yrs old	2	.2%
	8	18-21 yrs old	12	1.1%
	9	22-25 yrs old	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1022	97.2%

SEM23				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	254		
	Label	Did the Offender bring up sex or sex-related topics to the victim when they were online together?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	30	2.9%
	1	Yes	123	11.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	22	2.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	9	.9%
	System		865	82.3%

SEM24				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	255		
	Label	How many online interactions did the victim and offender have before the Offender brought up sex or sex-related topics?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		

Valid Values	1	1	28	2.7%
	2	2-5	29	2.8%
	3	6-10	13	1.2%
	4	11-20	7	.7%
	5	21-30	3	.3%
	6	31-100	3	.3%
	7	More than 100	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	39	3.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		928	88.3%

SEM25				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	256		
	Label	Did the Offender ask the victim to masturbate or engage in other sexual acts when they were online together?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	62	5.9%
	1	Yes	42	4.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	18	1.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		928	88.3%

SEM26				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	257		
	Label	Did the Offender harass or stalk the victim online?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	158	15.0%
	1	Yes	11	1.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	7	.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	10	1.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		865	82.3%

SEM27a				

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	258		
	Label	Did the Offender harass or stalk online through sexually threatening or nasty emails?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	7	.7%
	1	Yes	4	.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1040	99.0%

SEM27b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	259		
	Label	Did the Offender harass or stalk online through derogatory or hateful or threatening postings?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	10	1.0%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1040	99.0%

SEM27c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	260		
	Label	Did the Offender harass or stalk online through persistent unwelcome attempts at online contact?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	2	.2%
	1	Yes	9	.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1040	99.0%

SEM27d

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	261		
	Label	Did the Offender harass or stalk online some other way?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	9	.9%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1040	99.0%

SEM28

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	262		
	Label	Did the Offender use the Internet to send pictures to the victim?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	74	7.0%
	1	Yes	87	8.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	18	1.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	7	.7%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		865	82.3%

SEM29

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	263		
	Label	Were any of the pictures sexual in nature?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	33	3.1%
	1	Yes	50	4.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%

99	Not applicable	0	.0%
System		964	91.7%

SEM30a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	264		
	Label	Did the sexual pictures depict the offender nude or in a sexual pose?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	12	1.1%
	1	Yes	38	3.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1001	95.2%

SEM30b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	265		
	Label	Did the sexual pictures the Offender sent depict adult pornography?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	39	3.7%
	1	Yes	11	1.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1001	95.2%

SEM30c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	266		
	Label	Did the sexual pictures the Offender sent depict child pornography?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	43	4.1%
	1	Yes	7	.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
Values				

	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1001	95.2%

SEM30d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	267		
	Label	Did the sexual pictures the Offender sent depict pornography, not sure of adult or child?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	48	4.6%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1001	95.2%

SEM30e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	268		
	Label	Did the sexual pictures the Offender sent depict something else?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	45	4.3%
	1	Yes	5	.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1001	95.2%

SEM31a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	269		
	Label	Were any of the sexual pictures the Offender sent digital or other still photographs?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid	0	No	11	1.0%

Values	1	Yes	39	3.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1001	95.2%

SEM31b

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	270		
	Label	Were any of the sexual pictures the Offender sent live images?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	32	3.0%
	1	Yes	18	1.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1001	95.2%

SEM31c

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	271		
	Label	Were any of the sexual pictures the Offender sent pre-recorded moving videos or other types of moving images?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	48	4.6%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1001	95.2%

SEM31d

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	272		
	Label	Were any of the sexual pictures the Offender sent in some other format?		
	Type	Numeric		

Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	49	4.7%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1001	95.2%

SEM32				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	273		
	Label	Did the Offender ask the victim to take sexual pictures of him or herself or other minors?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	109	10.4%
	1	Yes	58	5.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	13	1.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	871	82.9%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

SEM33				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	274		
	Label	Did the Offender use the Internet to request these sexual pictures?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	7	.7%
	1	Yes	47	4.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		993	94.5%

SEM35				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	275		
	Label	Did the Offender offer to pay or give the victim anything in exchange for any of these sexual pictures?		

	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	48	4.6%
	1	Yes	9	.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		993	94.5%

SEM37				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	276		
	Label	Did the Victim actually send any sexual pictures to the offender?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	10	1.0%
	1	Yes	46	4.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		993	94.5%

SEM38				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	277		
	Label	Did the Victim receive money or anything else from the offender in exchange for any of these sexual pictures?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	38	3.6%
	1	Yes	7	.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1005	95.6%

SEM39a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard	Position	278		

Attributes	Label	Were any of the sexual images the Victim sent digitals or other still photographs?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	6	.6%
	1	Yes	40	3.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1005	95.6%

SEM39b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	279		
	Label	Were any of the sexual images the Victim sent live images?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	28	2.7%
	1	Yes	15	1.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1005	95.6%

SEM39c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	280		
	Label	Were any of the sexual images the Victim sent pre-recorded moving videos or other types of moving images?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	41	3.9%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1005	95.6%

SEM39d

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	281		
	Label	Were any of the sexual images the Victim sent in some other format?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	43	4.1%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1005	95.6%

SEM40a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	282		
	Label	Was the victim depicted in the sexual images the Victim sent?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	0	.0%
	1	Yes	46	4.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1005	95.6%

SEM40b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	283		
	Label	Were minor friends of the victim depicted in the sexual images the Victim sent?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	42	4.0%
	1	Yes	4	.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1005	95.6%

SEM40c

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	284		
	Label	Were minor family members of the victim depicted in the sexual images the Victim sent?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	46	4.4%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1005	95.6%

SEM40d

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	285		
	Label	Were other minors depicted in the sexual images the Victim sent?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	46	4.4%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1005	95.6%

SEM40e

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	286		
	Label	Were any adults depicted in the sexual images the Victim sent?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	42	4.0%
	1	Yes	3	.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1005	95.6%

SEM41				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	287		
	Label	Did the Offender ever use any of these sexual pictures to overtly blackmail the victim?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	43	4.1%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1005	95.6%

SEM42				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	288		
	Label	Did the Victim send any other pictures of him or herself to the offender?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	99	9.4%
	1	Yes	67	6.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	15	1.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	870	82.8%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

SEM43				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	289		
	Label	Did the Offender harass or stalk the victim offline?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	276	26.3%
	1	Yes	27	2.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	9	.9%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

		System		735	69.9%

SEM44a					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	290			
	Label	Did the Offender harass or stalk offline through unwelcome telephone calls?			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication			
Valid Values	0	No		18	1.7%
	1	Yes		7	.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		2	.2%
	99	Not applicable		0	.0%
	System			1024	97.4%

SEM44b					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	291			
	Label	Did the Offender harass or stalk offline through unwelcome items in the mail?			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication			
Valid Values	0	No		25	2.4%
	1	Yes		0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		2	.2%
	99	Not applicable		0	.0%
	System			1024	97.4%

SEM44c					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	292			
	Label	Did the Offender harass or stalk offline by coming to the victims home?			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication			
Valid Values	0	No		22	2.1%
	1	Yes		2	.2%

Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1024	97.4%

SEM44d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	293		
	Label	Did the Offender harass or stalk offline by following the victim or appearing at places the victim was present?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	20	1.9%
	1	Yes	5	.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1024	97.4%

SEM44e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	294		
	Label	Did the Offender harass or stalk offline some other way?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	7	.7%
	1	Yes	20	1.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1024	97.4%

SEM46				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	295		
	Label	Did the Offender post or otherwise distribute pictures or information about the victim online?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		

Valid Values	0	No	210	20.0%
	1	Yes	63	6.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	42	4.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

SEM47a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	296		
	Label	Were these pictures or information about the victim distributed through the victims social networking site?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	56	5.3%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		988	94.0%

SEM47b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	297		
	Label	Were these pictures or information about the victim distributed through the offenders social networking site?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	48	4.6%
	1	Yes	9	.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	5	.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		988	94.0%

SEM47c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	298		
	Label	Were these pictures or information about the victim distributed through email or instant messaging?		

	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	37	3.5%
	1	Yes	22	2.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	3	.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		988	94.0%

SEM47d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	299		
	Label	Were these pictures or information about the victim distributed through a file sharing or p2p program?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	45	4.3%
	1	Yes	13	1.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		988	94.0%

SEM47e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	300		
	Label	Were these pictures or information about the victim distributed through Craigs List or other want ad site?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	50	4.8%
	1	Yes	8	.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		988	94.0%

SEM47f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard	Position	301		

Attributes	Label	Were these pictures or information about the victim distributed through a sexually oriented that required payment for access?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	52	4.9%
	1	Yes	6	.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		988	94.0%

SEM47g				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	302		
	Label	Were these pictures or information about the victim distributed through some other type of sexually oriented website?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	46	4.4%
	1	Yes	13	1.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		988	94.0%

SEM47h				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	303		
	Label	Were these pictures or information about the victim distributed through some other place online?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	48	4.6%
	1	Yes	11	1.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	3	.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		988	94.0%

SEM48

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	304		
	Label	Did the Victim have a webpage or a social networking site like MySpace or Facebook?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	123	11.7%
	1	Yes	91	8.7%
	3	Victim is 9 yrs old or younger	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	38	3.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	7	.7%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

SEM49

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	305		
	Label	Did the Victim have a profile or other description of his or herself posted somewhere online?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	96	9.1%
	1	Yes	25	2.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	38	3.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	9	.9%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		883	84.0%

SEM50

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	306		
	Label	Did the Victim post an age?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	10	1.0%
	1	Yes	73	6.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	33	3.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%

			Count	Percent
99	Not applicable		0	.0%
System			934	88.9%

SEM51				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	307		
	Label	Did the Victim post accurate information about his or her age?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	31	2.9%
	1	Yes	39	3.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	3	.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		978	93.1%

SEM52				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	308		
	Label	What age did she or he post?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	1	Younger than 10 yrs old	0	.0%
	2	10-12 yrs old	0	.0%
	3	13 yrs old	0	.0%
	4	14 yrs old	0	.0%
	5	15 yrs old	2	.2%
	6	16 yrs old	8	.8%
	7	17 yrs old	2	.2%
	8	18-21 yrs old	14	1.3%
	9	22-25 yrs old	0	.0%
	10		3	.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1020	97.1%

SEM53				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	309		

	Label	Did the Victim post other personal information such as name or address or school or town?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	26	2.5%
	1	Yes	63	6.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	27	2.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		934	88.9%

SEM54				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	310		
	Label	Did the Victim post sexually suggestive comments?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	72	6.9%
	1	Yes	14	1.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	30	2.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		934	88.9%

SEM55				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	311		
	Label	Had Other people (including the offender) posted sexually suggestive comments on the victims page?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	76	7.2%
	1	Yes	12	1.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	28	2.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		934	88.9%

SEM56	

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	312		
	Label	Did the Victim post pictures or his or herself?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	25	2.4%
	1	Yes	70	6.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	20	1.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		934	88.9%

SEM57				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	313		
	Label	Were the pictures sexually suggestive in any way?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	50	4.8%
	1	Yes	17	1.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	3	.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		981	93.3%

SEM58				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	314		
	Label	Did the Victim post anything else related to this case?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	86	8.2%
	1	Yes	14	1.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	16	1.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		934	88.9%

SEM60				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	315		
	Label	Did the Victim offer him or herself to others for companionship or dating or sexual activity?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	189	18.0%
	1	Yes	27	2.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	34	3.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	41	3.9%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		760	72.3%

SEM62				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	316		
	Label	Did the Victim use the Internet to offer his or herself?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	3	.3%
	1	Yes	24	2.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1024	97.4%

SEM64				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	317		
	Label	Did this offer include requests for money or anything else?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	21	2.0%
	1	Yes	6	.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1024	97.4%

SEM66				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	318		
	Label	Did the Victim receive money or anything else?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	17	1.6%
	1	Yes	8	.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1024	97.4%

SEM67				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	319		
	Label	Did the Victim offer or sell sexual pictures of his or herself to others?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	233	22.2%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	21	2.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	36	3.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		760	72.3%

SEM68				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	320		
	Label	Did the Victim use the Internet to offer or sell these sexual pictures?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	1	.1%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

System			1050	99.9%

SEM70				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	321		
	Label	Did the Victim ask for money or anything else in exchange for these sexual pictures?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	0	.0%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1050	99.9%

SEM72				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	322		
	Label	Were these sexual pictures offered to a particular individual or group of people?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	1	.1%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1050	99.9%

SEM75a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	323		
	Label	Did the Offender use the victims social networking site or profile to get information about the victims likes or interests?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	26	2.5%
	1	Yes	47	4.5%

Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	15	1.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		963	91.6%

SEM75b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	324		
	Label	Did the Offender use the victims social networking site or profile to get information about the victims home or school?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	35	3.3%
	1	Yes	35	3.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	18	1.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		963	91.6%

SEM75c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	325		
	Label	Did the Offender use the victims social networking site or profile to get information about the victims whereabouts at a specific time?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	58	5.5%
	1	Yes	14	1.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	16	1.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		963	91.6%

SEM75d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	326		
	Label	Did the Offender use the victims social networking site or profile to look at pictures of the victim?		

	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	33	3.1%
	1	Yes	43	4.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	12	1.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		963	91.6%

SEM75e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	327		
	Label	Did the Offender use the victims social networking site or profile to get in touch with the victims friends?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	67	6.4%
	1	Yes	7	.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	14	1.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		963	91.6%

SEM75f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	328		
	Label	Did the Offender use the victims social networking site or profile for some other reason related to this case?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	78	7.4%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	10	1.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		963	91.6%

SEM77				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard	Position	329		

Attributes	Label	Did the Offender use the Internet in any other way to secretly gather information about the victim?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	75	7.1%
	1	Yes	20	1.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	25	2.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		931	88.6%

SEM78K				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	330		
	Label	How did O use the internet to secretly gather info about V?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	Other	3	.3%
	1	Maps/Directions	15	1.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1031	98.1%

SEM79				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	331		
	Label	Did the Offender offer to pay or give the victim anything to perform sex acts (excludes pictures)?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	251	23.9%
	1	Yes	47	4.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	12	1.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	6	.6%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

SEM81		

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	332		
	Label	Did the Offender use the Internet to offer this to the victim?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	44	4.2%
	1	Yes	3	.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1004	95.5%

SEM85				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	333		
	Label	Did the Offender prostitute or advertise or otherwise make the victim available to others for sexual purposes?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	274	26.1%
	1	Yes	29	2.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	6	.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	7	.7%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

SEM86				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	334		
	Label	Did the Offender use the Internet to make the victim available?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	13	1.2%
	1	Yes	16	1.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1022	97.2%

SEM88				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	335		
	Label	Did the Offender ask for money or anything else in exchange for access to the victim?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	15	1.4%
	1	Yes	14	1.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1022	97.2%

SEM90				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	336		
	Label	Did the Offender receive money or anything else in exchange for access to the victim?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	15	1.4%
	1	Yes	14	1.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1022	97.2%

SEM92				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	337		
	Label	Did the Offender ask someone besides the victim to take sexual pictures of the victim or other minors?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	277	26.4%
	1	Yes	25	2.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	8	.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	6	.6%

99	Not applicable	0	.0%
System		735	69.9%

SEM94				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	338		
	Label	Did the Offender use the Internet to ask for these sexual pictures?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	19	1.8%
	1	Yes	5	.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1026	97.6%

SEM96				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	339		
	Label	Did the Offender offer to pay or give this person anything in exchange for any of these pictures?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	16	1.5%
	1	Yes	9	.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1026	97.6%

SEM98				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	340		
	Label	Did the picture taker receive money or anything else?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	0	.0%
	1	Yes	9	.9%
Missing	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%

Values	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1042	99.1%

SEM100				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	341		
	Label	Did the Offender ask a third party for sexual access to the victim (excludes pictures)?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	298	28.4%
	1	Yes	5	.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	9	.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

SEM102				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	342		
	Label	Did the Offender use the Internet to ask this third party?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	3	.3%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1046	99.5%

SEM104				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	343		
	Label	Did the Offender offer to pay or give this third party anything in exchange for any of this sexual access?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid	0	No	1	.1%

Values	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1046	99.5%

SEM106				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	344		
	Label	Did the third party receive money or anything else from the offender in exchange for this sexual access?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	0	.0%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1049	99.8%

SEM108a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	345		
	Label	Did the offender talk with the victim by cell phone offline?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	28	2.7%
	1	Yes	85	8.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	7	.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		931	88.6%

SEM108b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	346		
	Label	Did the offender talk with the victim by other telephone offline?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and		

Attributes		Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	61	5.8%
	1	Yes	49	4.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	10	1.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		931	88.6%

SEM108c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	347		
	Label	Did the offender text message the victim offline?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	62	5.9%
	1	Yes	45	4.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	13	1.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		931	88.6%

SEM108d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	348		
	Label	Did the offender send anything to the victim offline like by regular mail?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	103	9.8%
	1	Yes	10	1.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	7	.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		931	88.6%

SEM108e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	349		
	Label	Did the offender have any other sort of offline contact with the victim?		
	Type	Numeric		

Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Incident and Communication		
Valid Values	0	No	63	6.0%
	1	Yes	55	5.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		931	88.6%

SEM110				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	350		
	Label	Did the offender and victim meet f2f after their online contact?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	35	3.3%
	1	Yes	84	8.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		931	88.6%

SEM111				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	351		
	Label	Did the offender and victim make plans to meet face to face?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	17	1.6%
	1	Yes	18	1.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1015	96.6%

SEM112				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	352		
	Label	Did they establish a meeting place?		
	Type	Numeric		

Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	7	.7%
	1	Yes	11	1.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1033	98.3%

SEM113				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	353		
	Label	Did either of them buy travel tickets or take other clear action to further their plans?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	12	1.1%
	1	Yes	5	.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1033	98.3%

SEM114				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	354		
	Label	Did either of them arrive at a meeting place?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	12	1.1%
	1	Yes	6	.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1033	98.3%

SEM115				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	355		

	Label	Who went to this meeting place?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	1	Offender	6	.6%
	2	Victim	0	.0%
	3	Both	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1045	99.4%

SEM116				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	356		
	Label	Why didnt the meeting happen?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	1	Family or friend found out & prevented	6	.6%
	2	Law enforcement found out and took steps to prevent	8	.8%
	3	Victim decided not to go	3	.3%
	4	Offender decided not to go	0	.0%
	5	Something else	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1033	98.3%

SEM117				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	357		
	Label	Did the victim tell anyone about the first meeting before it happened?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	52	4.9%
	1	Yes	25	2.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	5	.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		967	92.0%

SEM118a			Count	Percent
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	358		
	Label	Did the victim tell a parent or other caretaker?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	20	1.9%
	1	Yes	4	.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1026	97.6%

SEM118b			Count	Percent
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	359		
	Label	Did the victim tell some other adult family member?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	23	2.2%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1026	97.6%

SEM118c			Count	Percent
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	360		
	Label	Did the victim tell a friend or sibling?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	5	.5%
	1	Yes	20	1.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

System			1026	97.6%

SEM118d

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	361		
	Label	Did the victim tell some other adult?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	24	2.3%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1026	97.6%

SEM118e

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	362		
	Label	Did the victim tell someone else?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	23	2.2%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1026	97.6%

SEM119

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	363		
	Label	Where did the initial face to face meeting take place?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	1	A public place (mall, park, store, etc)	34	3.2%
	2	A hotel or motel	10	1.0%
	3	The offender's home	10	1.0%
	4	The victim's home	15	1.4%

	5	Other (specify)		10	1.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		3	.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		2	.2%
	99	Not applicable		0	.0%
	System			967	92.0%

SEM120K					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	364			
	Label	Where did the initial face-to-face meeting between O and V take place? Other			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting			
N	Valid	21			
	Missing	1030			
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	3.48			
	Standard Deviation	1.601			
Labeled Values	1	A public place (mall, park, store, etc)		3	.3%
	2	A hotel or motel		4	.4%
	3	The offender's home		4	.4%
	4	The victim's home		0	.0%
	5	Other (specify)		10	1.0%
	97	Do not know/Not sure		0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		0	.0%
	99	Not applicable		0	.0%

SEM121					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	365			
	Label	Did anyone accompany the victim to this meeting?			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting			
Valid Values	0	No		62	5.9%
	1	Yes		17	1.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		2	.2%
	99	Not applicable		1	.1%
	System			967	92.0%

SEM122a					
		Value		Count	Percent

Standard Attributes	Position	366		
	Label	Did a parent or other caretaker accompany the victim?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	15	1.4%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1034	98.4%

SEM122b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	367		
	Label	Did some other adult family member accompany the victim?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	17	1.6%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1034	98.4%

SEM122c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	368		
	Label	Did a friend or sibling accompany the victim?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	2	.2%
	1	Yes	15	1.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1034	98.4%

SEM122d				

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	369		
	Label	Did some other adult accompany the victim?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	16	1.5%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1034	98.4%

SEM122e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	370		
	Label	Did someone else accompany the victim?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	15	1.4%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1034	98.4%

SEM123				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	371		
	Label	How many miles from the Victims home was the meeting place?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	1	Less than 10 miles	51	4.9%
	2	Over 10 to 50 miles	7	.7%
	3	Over 50 to 300 miles	1	.1%
	4	Over 300 to 500 miles	0	.0%
	5	Over 500 to 1000 miles	0	.0%
	6	More than 1000 miles	3	.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%

99	Not applicable	0	.0%
System		982	93.4%

SEM124				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	372		
	Label	Did the Victim travel across state lines to get to the meeting?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	61	5.8%
	1	Yes	7	.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		982	93.4%

SEM125				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	373		
	Label	Did the Victim travel across international boundaries to get to the meeting?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	68	6.5%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		982	93.4%

SEM126				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	374		
	Label	How many miles from the Offenders home was the initial meeting place?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	1	Less than 10 miles	16	1.5%
	2	Over 10 to 50 miles	29	2.8%
	3	Over 50 to 300 miles	14	1.3%

	4	Over 300 to 500 miles	5	.5%
	5	Over 500 to 1000 miles	5	.5%
	6	More than 1000 miles	7	.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		973	92.6%

SEM127				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	375		
	Label	Did the Offender travel across state lines to get to the initial meeting ?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	49	4.7%
	1	Yes	27	2.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		973	92.6%

SEM128				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	376		
	Label	Did the Offender travel across international boundaries to get to the initial meeting?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	75	7.1%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		973	92.6%

SEM129				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	377		
	Label	Did the victim and offender meet face to face more than once?		

	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	30	2.9%
	1	Yes	50	4.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		967	92.0%

SEM130				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	378		
	Label	How many times did they meet?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	1	Once	0	.0%
	2	Twice	18	1.7%
	3	3-5 times	22	2.1%
	4	6-10 times	4	.4%
	5	More than 10 times	3	.3%
	6	Lived or stayed together	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1001	95.2%

timemet_r				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	379		
	Label	Over what length of time did they meet?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	1	1 day or less	1	.1%
	2	>1 to 7 days	5	.5%
	3	>1 wk to 1 month	12	1.1%
	4	>1 to 6 months	19	1.8%
	5	>6 to 12 months	8	.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

System			1001	95.2%

SEM132a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	380		
	Label	They met in a public place		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	19	1.8%
	1	Yes	22	2.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	9	.9%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1001	95.2%

SEM132b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	381		
	Label	They met at a hotel or motel		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	26	2.5%
	1	Yes	15	1.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	9	.9%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1001	95.2%

SEM132c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	382		
	Label	They met at the offenders home or workplace		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	24	2.3%
	1	Yes	20	1.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	6	.6%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1001	95.2%

SEM132d

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	383		
	Label	They met at the victims home		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	30	2.9%
	1	Yes	13	1.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	7	.7%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1001	95.2%

SEM132e

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	384		
	Label	They met at someone elses home		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	33	3.1%
	1	Yes	7	.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	10	1.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1001	95.2%

SEM132f

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	385		
	Label	They met in a vehicle		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	23	2.2%
	1	Yes	19	1.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	8	.8%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1001	95.2%

SEM132g

		Value	Count	Percent

Standard Attributes	Position	386		
	Label	They met somewhere else		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	39	3.7%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	9	.9%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1001	95.2%

SEM133				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	387		
	Label	Did the Offender take photographs of the victim while they were face to face?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	106	10.1%
	1	Yes	160	15.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	8	.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		775	73.7%

SEM134				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	388		
	Label	Were any of these photographs sexual in nature (face to face)?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	16	1.5%
	1	Yes	142	13.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		891	84.8%

SEM135a				
		Value	Count	Percent

Standard Attributes	Position	389		
	Label	Did any of these sexual images consist of digital or other still photographs?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	17	1.6%
	1	Yes	123	11.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		909	86.5%

SEM135b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	390		
	Label	Did any of these sexual images consist of live images?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	120	11.4%
	1	Yes	18	1.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		909	86.5%

SEM135c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	391		
	Label	Did any of these sexual images consist of pre-recorded moving videos or other types of moving images?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	91	8.7%
	1	Yes	49	4.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		909	86.5%

		SEM135d		
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	392		
	Label	Did any of these sexual images consist of some other format?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	138	13.1%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		909	86.5%

		SEM136		
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	393		
	Label	Was the victim aware that the pictures were being taken?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	19	1.8%
	1	Yes	118	11.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		909	86.5%

		SEM137		
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	394		
	Label	Was the victim under the influence of drugs or alcohol when the pictures were taken?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	98	9.3%
	1	Yes	23	2.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	19	1.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		909	86.5%

SEM138				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	395		
	Label	Was there any illegal sexual activity between the victim and offender (face to face)?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	42	4.0%
	1	Yes	227	21.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	6	.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		775	73.7%

SEM139				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	396		
	Label	What was the most severe sexual activity that took place?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	1	Non-contact (includes exhibitionism and voyeurism)	6	.6%
	2	Inappropriate touching/fondling	32	3.0%
	3	Oral sex	25	2.4%
	4	Intercourse or other penetration	147	14.0%
	5	Violent rape	11	1.0%
	6	Something else (specify)	4	.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		824	78.4%

SEM141a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	397		
	Label	The illegal sexual activity took place at the victims home		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		

Valid Values	0	No	134	12.7%
	1	Yes	77	7.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	16	1.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		824	78.4%

SEM141b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	398		
	Label	The illegal sexual activity took place at the offenders home		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	67	6.4%
	1	Yes	152	14.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	8	.8%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		824	78.4%

SEM141c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	399		
	Label	The illegal sexual activity took place at the victims school		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	200	19.0%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	25	2.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		824	78.4%

SEM141d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	400		
	Label	The illegal sexual activity took place at the offenders job		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		

Attributes				
Valid Values	0	No	193	18.4%
	1	Yes	9	.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	25	2.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		824	78.4%

SEM141e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	401		
	Label	The illegal sexual activity took place at the victims job		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	202	19.2%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	24	2.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		824	78.4%

SEM141f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	402		
	Label	The illegal sexual activity took place at a hotel or motel		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	158	15.0%
	1	Yes	42	4.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	3	.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	24	2.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		824	78.4%

SEM141g				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	403		
	Label	The illegal sexual activity took place in a motor vehicle		
	Type	Numeric		

Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	158	15.0%
	1	Yes	45	4.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	22	2.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		824	78.4%

SEM141h				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	404		
	Label	The illegal sexual activity took place somewhere else		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	179	17.0%
	1	Yes	26	2.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	21	2.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		824	78.4%

SEM142a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	405		
	Label	The offender used friendship to gain the victims trust or cooperation		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	96	9.1%
	1	Yes	157	14.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	5	.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	18	1.7%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		775	73.7%

SEM142b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	406		
	Label	The offender used promises of love or romance to gain the victims trust or cooperation		

	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	153	14.6%
	1	Yes	98	9.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	7	.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	18	1.7%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		775	73.7%

SEM142c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	407		
	Label	The offender used seduction to gain the victims trust or cooperation		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	173	16.5%
	1	Yes	78	7.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	7	.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	18	1.7%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		775	73.7%

SEM142d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	408		
	Label	The offender used threats of violence to gain the victims trust or cooperation		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	227	21.6%
	1	Yes	20	1.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	11	1.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	18	1.7%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		775	73.7%

SEM142e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	409		
	Label	The offender used actual violence to		

		gain the victims trust or cooperation		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	234	22.3%
	1	Yes	13	1.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	11	1.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	18	1.7%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		775	73.7%

SEM142f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	410		
	Label	The offender used coercion to gain the victims trust or cooperation		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	158	15.0%
	1	Yes	91	8.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	9	.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	18	1.7%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		775	73.7%

SEM142g				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	411		
	Label	The offender used some other tactic to gain the victims trust or cooperation		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	189	18.0%
	1	Yes	65	6.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	5	.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	17	1.6%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		775	73.7%

SEM143a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	412		

	Label	While they were together was the victim abducted?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	261	24.8%
	1	Yes	6	.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	3	.3%
	System		775	73.7%

SEM143b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	413		
	Label	While they were together was the victim illegally detained?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	248	23.6%
	1	Yes	17	1.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	3	.3%
	System		775	73.7%

SEM143c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	414		
	Label	While they were together was the victim physically assaulted?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	249	23.7%
	1	Yes	16	1.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	3	.3%
	System		775	73.7%

SEM143d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard	Position	415		

Attributes	Label	While they were together was the victim physically injured by any means?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	254	24.2%
	1	Yes	11	1.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	3	.3%
	System		775	73.7%

SEM143e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	416		
	Label	While they were together was the victim offered or given illegal drugs or alcohol?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	186	17.7%
	1	Yes	62	5.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	21	2.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	3	.3%
	System		775	73.7%

SEM143f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	417		
	Label	While they were together was the victim offered or given adult pornography?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	182	17.3%
	1	Yes	59	5.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	28	2.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	3	.3%
	System		775	73.7%

SEM143g				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	418		
	Label	While they were together was the victim offered or given child pornography?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	196	18.6%
	1	Yes	49	4.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	24	2.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	3	.3%
	System		775	73.7%

SEM143h				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	419		
	Label	While they were together some other sort of incident happened?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	220	20.9%
	1	Yes	46	4.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	3	.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	4	.4%
	System		775	73.7%

SEM145				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	420		
	Label	Did the offender and victim travel or go anywhere together at any time?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	27	2.6%
	1	Yes	56	5.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

	System		967	92.0%

SEM146a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	421		
	Label	Did the offender and victim travel to public places together?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	15	1.4%
	1	Yes	36	3.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		995	94.7%

SEM146b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	422		
	Label	Did the offender and victim travel to the offenders home or workplace together?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	35	3.3%
	1	Yes	19	1.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		995	94.7%

SEM146c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	423		
	Label	Did the offender and victim drive around together?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	20	1.9%
	1	Yes	34	3.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%

| 99 | Not applicable | 0 | .0% |
| System | | 995 | 94.7% |

SEM146d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	424		
	Label	Did the offender and victim travel to other state or another country together?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	44	4.2%
	1	Yes	8	.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		995	94.7%

SEM146e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	425		
	Label	Did the offender and victim travel somewhere else together?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	43	4.1%
	1	Yes	10	1.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		995	94.7%

SEM147				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	426		
	Label	How far did they travel together?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	1	Less than 10 miles	19	1.8%
	2	Over 10 to 50 miles	26	2.5%
	3	Over 50 to 300 miles	5	.5%

	4	Over 300 to 500 miles	0	.0%
	5	Over 500 to 1000 miles	3	.3%
	6	More than 1000 miles	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		995	94.7%

SEM148				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	427		
	Label	Did they travel together across state lines?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	49	4.7%
	1	Yes	7	.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		995	94.7%

SEM149				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	428		
	Label	Did they travel together across international boundaries?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	56	5.3%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		995	94.7%

SEM150				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	429		
	Label	Did the Victim run away from home overnight to be with the offender at any point during the crime?		
	Type	Numeric		

Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	149	14.2%
	1	Yes	37	3.5%
	3	O was family or same HH	85	8.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	3	.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		775	73.7%

SEM151				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	430		
	Label	Did the Victim leave home with consent overnight to be with the offender at any point during the crime?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	142	13.5%
	1	Yes	32	3.0%
	3	O was family or same HH	85	8.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	7	.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	10	1.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		775	73.7%

SEM152				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	431		
	Label	Did the Victim ever spend the night with the offender?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	0	.0%
	1	Yes	34	3.2%
	3	O was family or same HH	85	8.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	32	3.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		900	85.6%

SEM153				

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	432		
	Label	At any time when the Victim was with the offender were his or her whereabouts unknown to parents or caretakers?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	0	No	84	8.0%
	1	Yes	96	9.1%
	3	O was family or same HH	81	7.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	10	1.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		775	73.7%

timeVmissing_r				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	433		
	Label	How long was the victim missing?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		
Valid Values	1	1 day or less	60	5.7%
	2	>1 to 7 days	16	1.5%
	3	>1 wk to 1 month	4	.4%
	4	>1 to 6 months	3	.3%
	5	>6 to 12 months	1	.1%
	6	>1 to 3 years	1	.1%
	7	>3 to 5 years	0	.0%
	8	>5 years	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	9	.9%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		955	90.9%

SEM155				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	434		
	Label	Was the victim reported missing to a law enforcement agency?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom	Section	Victim-Offender Meeting		

Attributes				
Valid Values	0	No	65	6.2%
	1	Yes	28	2.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	3	.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		955	90.9%

PCP01a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	435		
	Label	Was the produced child pornography found on the hard drive of a computer?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	70	6.7%
	1	Yes	126	12.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	3	.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

PCP01b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	436		
	Label	Was the produced child pornography found on removable media?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	131	12.5%
	1	Yes	61	5.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	8	.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

PCP01c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	437		
	Label	Was the produced child pornography found on videos or DVDs?		

	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	140	13.3%
	1	Yes	58	5.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

PCP01d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	438		
	Label	Was the produced child pornography found photographs?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	108	10.3%
	1	Yes	89	8.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

PCP01e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	439		
	Label	Was the produced child pornography found on a cell phone?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	172	16.4%
	1	Yes	23	2.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

PCP01f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	440		
	Label	Was the produced child		

		pornography found on a server?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	192	18.3%
	1	Yes	4	.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	3	.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

PCP01g				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	441		
	Label	Was the produced child pornography found on an iPod or other MP3 player?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	195	18.6%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	3	.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

PCP01h				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	442		
	Label	Was the produced child pornography found on a digital camera or camera memory card?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	159	15.1%
	1	Yes	36	3.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

PCP01i				
		Value	Count	Percent

Standard Attributes	Position	443		
	Label	Was the produced child pornography found on something else?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	174	16.6%
	1	Yes	22	2.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	3	.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

PCP03a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	444		
	Label	How many produced still images were found?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	0	14	1.3%
	1	1 to 3	21	2.0%
	2	4 to 10	41	3.9%
	3	11 to 25	32	3.0%
	4	26-50	21	2.0%
	5	51-100	14	1.3%
	6	101-250	7	.7%
	7	251 to 1,000	11	1.0%
	8	1,001 to 5,000	6	.6%
	9	5,001 to 10,000	1	.1%
	10	10,001 to 100,000	1	.1%
	11	>100,000	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	13	1.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	2	.2%
	System		867	82.5%

PCP04a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	445		
	Label	How many produced videos or DVDs were found?		
	Type	Numeric		

Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	0	3	.3%
	1	1 to 3	25	2.4%
	2	4 to 10	12	1.1%
	3	11 to 25	4	.4%
	4	26-50	2	.2%
	5	51-100	2	.2%
	6	101-250	1	.1%
	7	251 to 1,000	1	.1%
	8	1,001 to 5,000	0	.0%
	9	5,001 to 10,000	0	.0%
	10	10,001 to 100,000	0	.0%
	11	>100,000	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	7	.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		992	94.4%

PCP05				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	446		
	Label	Did any of the videos or DVDs include sound?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	8	.8%
	1	Yes	41	3.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	8	.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		993	94.5%

PCP06				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	447		
	Label	How many children were featured in the produced images?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	1	1	123	11.7%
	2	2	37	3.5%
	3	3	9	.9%

			Count	Percent
	4	4-5	10	1.0%
	5	6-8	5	.5%
	6	9-10	5	.5%
	7	11-15	3	.3%
	8	16-20	1	.1%
	9	21-30	2	.2%
	10	31 to 50	0	.0%
	11	51-100	3	.3%
	12	More than 100	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

PCP07				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	448		
	Label	Were any of the produced images graphic?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	36	3.4%
	1	Yes	164	15.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	3	.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

PCP08				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	449		
	Label	How many adults were depicted in the produced images?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	1	Zero	102	9.7%
	2	1	85	8.1%
	3	2	9	.9%
	4	3	1	.1%
	5	4-5	2	.2%
	6	8-8	0	.0%
	7	9-10	0	.0%

	8	11-15	0	.0%
	9	15-21	0	.0%
	10	21-30	0	.0%
	11	31-50	0	.0%
	12	51-100	0	.0%
	13	More than 100	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

PCP09				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	450		
	Label	Did any of the produced images show sexual contact between a child and an adult?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	20	1.9%
	1	Yes	77	7.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		954	90.8%

PCP10				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	451		
	Label	Did any of the pictures involving adults show acts of penetration of the child including oral sex?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	39	3.7%
	1	Yes	58	5.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		954	90.8%

PCP11				
		Value		Count	Percent

Standard Attributes	Position	452		
	Label	Did any of the produced images without adults show acts involving penetration of the child?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	142	13.5%
	1	Yes	52	4.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	5	.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

PCP12				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	453		
	Label	Did any of the produced images feature violence?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	189	18.0%
	1	Yes	9	.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

PCP13a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	454		
	Label	The produced images depicted children gagged or bound or blindfolded or S&M or bondage		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	4	.4%
	1	Yes	5	.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1042	99.1%

PCP13b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	455		
	Label	The produced images depicted beatings		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	6	.6%
	1	Yes	3	.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1042	99.1%

PCP13c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	456		
	Label	The produced images depicted children crying		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	9	.9%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1042	99.1%

PCP13d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	457		
	Label	The produced images depicted use of weapons or force		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	9	.9%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1042	99.1%

PCP13e

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	458		
	Label	The produced images depicted some other form or violence		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	6	.6%
	1	Yes	3	.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1042	99.1%

PCP14

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	459		
	Label	Did any of the produced images feature nudity or semi-nudity without being graphic sexual images?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	36	3.4%
	1	Yes	159	15.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

PCP15

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	460		
	Label	Did any of the produced images involve suggestive poses of minors with clothes on?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	80	7.6%
	1	Yes	113	10.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	6	.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

	System		847	80.6%

PCP16				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	461		
	Label	Was there any evidence the offender used the Internet to transmit images of live sexual activity involving minors?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	175	16.7%
	1	Yes	18	1.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	5	.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	6	.6%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

PCP17				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	462		
	Label	Were any of the produced images created without the knowledge of the victim?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	153	14.6%
	1	Yes	42	4.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	7	.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

PCP18a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	463		
	Label	Were the covert pictures taken when the victim was sleeping or drugged or unconscious?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	24	2.3%
	1	Yes	16	1.5%

Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1009	96.0%

PCP18b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	464		
	Label	Were the covert pictures taken with a hidden camera?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	19	1.8%
	1	Yes	20	1.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1009	96.0%

PCP18c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	465		
	Label	Were the covert pictures taken some other way?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	31	2.9%
	1	Yes	9	.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1009	96.0%

PCP19a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	466		
	Label	The offender used encrypted files to store or hide images		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	179	17.0%

	1	Yes	3	.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	13	1.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	9	.9%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

PCP19b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	467		
	Label	The offender disguised removable media to store or hide images		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	178	16.9%
	1	Yes	3	.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	14	1.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	9	.9%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

PCP19c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	468		
	Label	The offender used remote storage like on a website or virtual storage space to store or hide images		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	181	17.2%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	14	1.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	9	.9%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

PCP19d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	469		
	Label	The offender used embedded images to store or hide images		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		

Valid Values	0	No	179	17.0%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	14	1.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	9	.9%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

PCP19e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	470		
	Label	The offender used password protection to store or hide images		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	172	16.4%
	1	Yes	8	.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	15	1.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	9	.9%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

PCP19f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	471		
	Label	The offender used anonymous remailers to store or hide images		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	181	17.2%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	14	1.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	9	.9%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

PCP19g				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	472		
	Label	The offender used something else to store or hide images		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom	Section	Child Pornography		

Attributes				
Valid Values	0	No	167	15.9%
	1	Yes	15	1.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	13	1.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	9	.9%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

PCP21				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	473		
	Label	Did you find any evidence that the offender had created morphed images?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	189	18.0%
	1	Yes	7	.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	6	.6%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

PCP22				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	474		
	Label	Was there any evidence that the offender showed or gave any of the produced images to any minors?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	121	11.5%
	1	Yes	67	6.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	10	1.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	6	.6%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

PCP23a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	475		
	Label	Produced images were shown or given to UC posing as minor		

	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	60	5.7%
	1	Yes	4	.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		984	93.6%

PCP23b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	476		
	Label	Produced images were shown or given to the primary or other identified victims		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	9	.9%
	1	Yes	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		984	93.6%

PCP23c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	477		
	Label	Produced images were shown or given to unidentified victims		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	56	5.3%
	1	Yes	5	.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	3	.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		984	93.6%

PCP23d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	478		

Label	Produced images were shown or given to some other minor		

	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	56	5.3%
	1	Yes	6	.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		984	93.6%

PCP24				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	479		
	Label	Did the offender distribute any of the produced images?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	115	10.9%
	1	Yes	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	25	2.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	7	.7%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		847	80.6%

PCP25a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	480		
	Label	The offender distributed the produced images by posting on web in free access area		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	45	4.3%
	1	Yes	10	1.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		994	94.6%

PCP25b				
		Value	Count	Percent

Standard Attributes	Position	481		
	Label	The offender distributed the produced images by posting on the web to a subscription or membership only area		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	49	4.7%
	1	Yes	6	.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		994	94.6%

PCP25c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	482		
	Label	The offender distributed the produced images on a social networking site		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	47	4.5%
	1	Yes	7	.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		994	94.6%

PCP25d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	483		
	Label	The offender distributed the produced images through an online want ad		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	50	4.8%
	1	Yes	4	.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		994	94.6%

PCP25e

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	484		
	Label	The offender distributed the produced images through a p2p network		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	42	4.0%
	1	Yes	12	1.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		994	94.6%

PCP25f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	485		
	Label	The offender distributed the produced images through face to face contact		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	47	4.5%
	1	Yes	6	.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	3	.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		994	94.6%

PCP25g				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	486		
	Label	The offender distributed the produced images some other way		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	29	2.8%
	1	Yes	26	2.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		994	94.6%

PCP27

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	487		
	Label	Did the offender ask for money or anything else in exchange for any of the produced images?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	46	4.4%
	1	Yes	9	.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		994	94.6%

PCP28

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	488		
	Label	Did the offender use the Internet to ask for this?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	1	.1%
	1	Yes	8	.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1042	99.1%

PCP30

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	489		
	Label	Did the offender receive money or anything else for the produced images?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	45	4.3%
	1	Yes	9	.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

	System		994	94.6%

CP01a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	490		
	Label	Was the possessed child pornography found on a hard drive?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	60	5.7%
	1	Yes	538	51.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	7	.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP01b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	491		
	Label	Was the possessed child pornography found on removable media?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	347	33.0%
	1	Yes	236	22.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	22	2.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP01c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	492		
	Label	Was the possessed child pornography found on videos or DVDs?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	514	48.9%
	1	Yes	77	7.3%
Missing	97	Do not know/Not sure	14	1.3%

Values	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP01d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	493		
	Label	Was the possessed child pornography found on digital videos?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	240	22.8%
	1	Yes	346	32.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	19	1.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP01e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	494		
	Label	Was the possessed child pornography found in photographs?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	316	30.1%
	1	Yes	278	26.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	11	1.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP01f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	495		
	Label	Was the possessed child pornography found in magazines?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	582	55.4%
	1	Yes	15	1.4%

Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	8	.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP01g				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	496		
	Label	Was the possessed child pornography found on a cell phone?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	590	56.1%
	1	Yes	5	.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	10	1.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP01h				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	497		
	Label	Was the possessed child pornography found on an online storage server?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	567	53.9%
	1	Yes	20	1.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	18	1.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP01i				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	498		
	Label	Was the possessed child pornography found on an iPod or other MP3 player?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid	0	No	589	56.0%

Values	1	Yes	5	.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	11	1.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP01j				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	499		
	Label	Was the possessed child pornography found on a digital camera or camera memory card?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	591	56.2%
	1	Yes	5	.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	9	.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP01k				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	500		
	Label	Was the possessed child pornography found on something else?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	571	54.3%
	1	Yes	24	2.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	10	1.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP03				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	501		
	Label	Did the possessed child pornography include graphic sexual images?		
	Type	Numeric		

Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	16	1.5%
	1	Yes	574	54.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	15	1.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP04				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	502		
	Label	Did any of the graphic images include both children and adults?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	64	6.1%
	1	Yes	475	45.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	34	3.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		477	45.4%

CP05				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	503		
	Label	Did any of the images show sexual contact between and adult and child?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	8	.8%
	1	Yes	462	44.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	5	.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		576	54.8%

CP06				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	504		
	Label	Did any of the pictures show acts involving penetration of the child including oral sex?		

	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	43	4.1%
	1	Yes	504	48.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	27	2.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		477	45.4%

CP07				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	505		
	Label	Did any of the possessed child pornography feature violence?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	392	37.3%
	1	Yes	153	14.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	60	5.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP08a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	506		
	Label	The possessed child pornography depicted children gagged or bound or blindfolded or S&M or bondage		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	13	1.2%
	1	Yes	138	13.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		898	85.4%

CP08b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	507		

	Label	The possessed child pornography depicted beatings		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	104	9.9%
	1	Yes	37	3.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	8	.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		898	85.4%

CP08c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	508		
	Label	The possessed child pornography depicted children crying		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	47	4.5%
	1	Yes	94	8.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	10	1.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		898	85.4%

CP08d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	509		
	Label	The possessed child pornography depicted use of weapons or force		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	88	8.4%
	1	Yes	54	5.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	8	.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		898	85.4%

CP08e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard	Position	510		

Attributes	Label	The possessed child pornography depicted some other violence		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	118	11.2%
	1	Yes	26	2.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	7	.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		898	85.4%

CP09				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	511		
	Label	Did any of the possessed child pornography feature nudity or semi-nudity but not graphic sexual images?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	62	5.9%
	1	Yes	494	47.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	49	4.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP10_rnum_KL				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	512		
	Label	Recode: How many still images of CP, not including produced CP, were found?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
N	Valid	472		
	Missing	579		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	13938.82		
	Standard Deviation	90844.041		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	73	6.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	3	.3%

CP11_rnum_KL

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	513		
	Label	Recode: How many videos or DVDs of CP, not including produced CP, were found?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
N	Valid	288		
	Missing	763		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	244.66		
	Standard Deviation	885.200		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	53	5.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	2	.2%

CP12

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	514		
	Label	Did any of the videos or DVDs include sound?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	45	4.3%
	1	Yes	223	21.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	84	8.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	16	1.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		683	65.0%

CP13

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	515		
	Label	Were there any common kinds of pictures of children like school photos or advertising photos?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	441	42.0%
	1	Yes	124	11.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	40	3.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

System			446	42.4%

CP14				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	516		
	Label	Did the offender possess any books or other writings that described sexual activity with prepubescent children?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	506	48.1%
	1	Yes	75	7.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	23	2.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP15				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	517		
	Label	What was the gender of the children depicted in the possessed child pornography?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	1	Mostly girls	385	36.6%
	2	Mostly boys	101	9.6%
	3	About equally both sexes	98	9.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	21	2.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP16a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	518		
	Label	Were the children under 3 years old?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	386	36.7%
	1	Yes	169	16.1%

Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	50	4.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP16b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	519		
	Label	Were the children 3 through 5 years old?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	287	27.3%
	1	Yes	274	26.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	44	4.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP16c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	520		
	Label	Were the children 6 through 12 years old?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	51	4.9%
	1	Yes	524	49.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	30	2.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP16d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	521		
	Label	Were the children 13 through 17 years old?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	160	15.2%

	1	Yes	409	38.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	36	3.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP17				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	522		
	Label	Did you find images of actual children that had been digitally manipulated or altered using computer graphics?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	540	51.4%
	1	Yes	27	2.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	37	3.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP18				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	523		
	Label	Did you find any computer generated or virtual images?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	521	49.6%
	1	Yes	51	4.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	32	3.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP19				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	524		
	Label	Was there any evidence the offender used the Internet to watch live sexual activity involving minors?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom	Section	Child Pornography		

Attributes				
Valid Values	0	No	531	50.5%
	1	Yes	26	2.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	46	4.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP20				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	525		
	Label	Did your agency make use of the Child Victim Identification Program run by the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children to try to identify children in the images?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	152	14.5%
	1	Yes	392	37.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	54	5.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	7	.7%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP21				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	526		
	Label	Were any of the child victims in the images identified as a result of the investigation?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	253	24.1%
	1	Yes	234	22.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	107	10.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	11	1.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP22				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard	Position	527		

Attributes	Label	Had the offender named and organized his/her files into a collection?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	376	35.8%
	1	Yes	156	14.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	66	6.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	7	.7%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP23a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	528		
	Label	Was the offender using encrypted files to store or hide images?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	557	53.0%
	1	Yes	14	1.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	29	2.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP23b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	529		
	Label	Was the offender using disguised removable media to store or hide images?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	552	52.5%
	1	Yes	18	1.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	30	2.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP23c				

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	530		
	Label	Was the offender using remote storage to store or hide images?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	552	52.5%
	1	Yes	15	1.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	33	3.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP23d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	531		
	Label	Was the offender using embedded images to store or hide images?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	562	53.5%
	1	Yes	5	.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	33	3.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP23e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	532		
	Label	Was the offender using password protection to store or hide images?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	512	48.7%
	1	Yes	52	4.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	36	3.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP23f

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	533		
	Label	Was the offender using anonymous remailers to store or hide images?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	556	52.9%
	1	Yes	9	.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	35	3.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP23g

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	534		
	Label	Was the offender using something else to store or hide images?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	536	51.0%
	1	Yes	36	3.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	29	2.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP25

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	535		
	Label	Was there any evidence the offender showed or gave child pornography to any minors?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	498	47.4%
	1	Yes	87	8.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	18	1.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP26a

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	536		
	Label	The offender showed or gave child pornography to an undercover investigator posing as a minor		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	56	5.3%
	1	Yes	31	2.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		964	91.7%

CP26b

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	537		
	Label	The offender showed or gave child pornography to the primary or other identified victim		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	37	3.5%
	1	Yes	49	4.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		964	91.7%

CP26c

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	538		
	Label	The offender showed or gave child pornography to unidentified victims		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	73	6.9%
	1	Yes	10	1.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%

| 99 | Not applicable | 0 | .0% |
| System | | 964 | 91.7% |

CP26d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	539		
	Label	The offender showed or gave child pornography to some other minor		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	83	7.9%
	1	Yes	3	.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		964	91.7%

CP27				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	540		
	Label	Did the offender distribute the possessed child pornography?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	295	28.1%
	1	Yes	242	23.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	67	6.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP28a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	541		
	Label	The offender distributed the possessed child pornography by posting them on the web in an area with free access		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	204	19.4%
	1	Yes	29	2.8%
Missing	97	Do not know/Not sure	7	.7%

Values	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		809	77.0%

CP28b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	542		
	Label	The offender distributed the possessed child pornography by posting them on the web to a subscription or membership only area		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	222	21.1%
	1	Yes	11	1.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	7	.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		809	77.0%

CP28c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	543		
	Label	The offender distributed the possessed child pornography on a social networking site		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	222	21.1%
	1	Yes	12	1.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	6	.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		809	77.0%

CP28d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	544		
	Label	The offender distributed the possessed child pornography through an online want ad		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom	Section	Child Pornography		

Attributes					
Valid Values	0	No		233	22.2%
	1	Yes		1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		6	.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		2	.2%
	99	Not applicable		0	.0%
	System			809	77.0%

CP28e					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	545			
	Label	The offender distributed the possessed child pornography through a p2p network			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography			
Valid Values	0	No		97	9.2%
	1	Yes		140	13.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		1	.1%
	99	Not applicable		0	.0%
	System			809	77.0%

CP28f					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	546			
	Label	The offender distributed the possessed child pornography through face to face contact			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography			
Valid Values	0	No		220	20.9%
	1	Yes		13	1.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		8	.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		1	.1%
	99	Not applicable		0	.0%
	System			809	77.0%

CP28g					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	547			
	Label	The offender distributed the possessed child pornography some			

		other way		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	149	14.2%
	1	Yes	88	8.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	5	.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		809	77.0%

CP30				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	548		
	Label	Did the offender ask for money or anything else in exchange for any of the possessed images?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	201	19.1%
	1	Yes	35	3.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	6	.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		809	77.0%

CP31				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	549		
	Label	Did the offender use the Internet to ask or this?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	1	.1%
	1	Yes	34	3.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1016	96.7%

CP33				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard	Position	550		

Attributes	Label	Did the offender receive money or anything else for the possessed images?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	197	18.7%
	1	Yes	30	2.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	12	1.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		809	77.0%

CP34				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	551		
	Label	Was adult pornography also found?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	120	11.4%
	1	Yes	419	39.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	66	6.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		446	42.4%

CP37				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	552		
	Label	Did the adult pornography focus on any particular sexual deviations?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Child Pornography		
Valid Values	0	No	273	26.0%
	1	Yes	112	10.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	34	3.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		632	60.1%

UC01

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	553		
	Label	Was this undercover investigation initiated by a LEA or other group or was it undertaken in response to a crime?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	1	Proactive	427	40.6%
	2	Reactive	48	4.6%
	3	Controlled Delivery	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		576	54.8%

UC02				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	554		
	Label	Did the undercover investigation start as a result of a referral from the CyberTipline?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	40	3.8%
	1	Yes	8	.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1003	95.4%

UC03				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	555		
	Label	Was the undercover investigation started solely by your agency?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	134	12.7%
	1	Yes	339	32.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

	System		576	54.8%

UC04				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	556		
	Label	Did another LEA start the investigation?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	54	5.1%
	1	Yes	80	7.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		917	87.3%

UC06				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	557		
	Label	Did any civilian groups or individuals work with law enforcement as part of the undercover investigation?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	350	33.3%
	1	Yes	49	4.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		652	62.0%

UC07a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	558		
	Label	Was the civilian group part of a television show?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	23	2.2%
	1	Yes	26	2.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%

| 99 | Not applicable | 0 | .0% |
| System | | 1002 | 95.3% |

UC07b			
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	559		
	Label	Was the civilian group Perverted Justice?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	8	.8%
	1	Yes	41	3.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1002	95.3%

UC07c			
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	560		
	Label	Was it some other civilian group?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	49	4.7%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1002	95.3%

UC07d			
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	561		
	Label	Was it an individual acting alone to catch offenders?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	46	4.4%
	1	Yes	3	.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%

99	Not applicable		0	.0%
System			1002	95.3%

UC07e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	562		
	Label	Was it some other the civilian group or individual?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	45	4.3%
	1	Yes	4	.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1002	95.3%

UC09				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	563		
	Label	Did this civilian group or individual act as the undercover agent in this case by impersonating a minor?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	3	.3%
	1	Yes	46	4.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1002	95.3%

UC10				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	564		
	Label	Who was being impersonated online in this undercover operation?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	1	A minor	355	33.8%
	2	An adult providing access to a minor	21	2.0%
	3	An adult interested in access to a	4	.4%

			Count	Percent
		minor		
	4	An adult child pornography consumer or distributor	0	.0%
	5	The identified crime victim in this case	15	1.4%
	6	Other (specify)	4	.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		652	62.0%

UC12				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	565		
	Label	What was the sex of the adult being impersonated?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	1	Male	18	1.7%
	2	Female	11	1.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1022	97.2%

UC13				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	566		
	Label	What was the sex of the minor being impersonated or made available?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	1	Male	31	2.9%
	2	Female	323	30.7%
	3	ID'd victims skipped	15	1.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		681	64.8%

UC14				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard	Position	567		

Attributes	Label	What was the age of the minor being impersonated or made available?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	1	One year or younger	0	.0%
	2	2 yrs old	0	.0%
	3	ID'd victims skipped	15	1.4%
	4	14 yrs old	0	.0%
	5	15 yrs old	0	.0%
	6	16 yrs old	0	.0%
	7	17 yrs old	0	.0%
	8	18 yrs old	0	.0%
	12		21	2.0%
	13		125	11.9%
	14		160	15.2%
	15		45	4.3%
	16		3	.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		681	64.8%

UC15				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	568		
	Label	Where did the offender and agent first meet or interact online?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	1		18	1.7%
	2		4	.4%
	3		308	29.3%
	4		27	2.6%
	5		7	.7%
	6		14	1.3%
	7		2	.2%
	9		11	1.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	7	.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		652	62.0%

UC17

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	569		
	Label	Was this a sexually oriented site?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	253	24.1%
	1	Yes	88	8.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	22	2.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		687	65.4%

UC18a

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	570		
	Label	Did the offender and agent ever communicate through messages on the agents social networking site?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	369	35.1%
	1	Yes	22	2.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	8	.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		652	62.0%

UC18b

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	571		
	Label	Did the offender and agent ever communicate through messages on the offenders social networking site?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	370	35.2%
	1	Yes	22	2.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	7	.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

	System		652	62.0%

UC18c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	572		
	Label	Did the offender and agent ever communicate through chat rooms?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	147	14.0%
	1	Yes	247	23.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	5	.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		652	62.0%

UC18d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	573		
	Label	Did the offender and agent ever communicate through instant messages?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	94	8.9%
	1	Yes	299	28.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	6	.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		652	62.0%

UC18e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	574		
	Label	Did the offender and agent ever communicate through email?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	257	24.5%
	1	Yes	133	12.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	9	.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%

| | 99 | Not applicable | 0 | .0% |
| | System | | 652 | 62.0% |

UC18f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	575		
	Label	Did the offender and agent ever communicate through online bulletin boards or messaging boards?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	394	37.5%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		652	62.0%

UC18g				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	576		
	Label	Did the offender and agent ever communicate through text messaging via cell phone?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	381	36.3%
	1	Yes	12	1.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	6	.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		652	62.0%

UC18h				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	577		
	Label	Did the offender and agent ever communicate through photos via cell phone?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid	0	No	384	36.5%

Values	1	Yes	10	1.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	5	.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		652	62.0%

UC18i				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	578		
	Label	Did the offender and agent ever communicate through Internet video communications?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	318	30.3%
	1	Yes	73	6.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	8	.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		652	62.0%

UC18j				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	579		
	Label	Did the offender and agent ever communicate online through some other way?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	359	34.2%
	1	Yes	35	3.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		652	62.0%

timeuc_r				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	580		
	Label	How long did it take for the case to develop from initial meeting to arrest?		
	Type	Numeric		

Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	1	1 day or less	72	6.9%
	2	>1 to 7 days	86	8.2%
	3	>1 wk to 1 month	97	9.2%
	4	>1 to 6 months	109	10.4%
	5	>6 to 12 months	25	2.4%
	6	>1 to 3 years	7	.7%
	7	>3 to 5 years	0	.0%
	8	>5 years	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		648	61.7%

UC20				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	581		
	Label	How many online interactions were there between the agent and offender?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0		2	.2%
	1	1	53	5.0%
	2	2-5	130	12.4%
	3	6-10	72	6.9%
	4	11-20	65	6.2%
	5	21-30	25	2.4%
	6	31-100	31	2.9%
	7	More than 100	7	.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	12	1.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		652	62.0%

UC21				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	582		
	Label	Was the offender deceptive about his age?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		

Valid Values	0	No	250	23.8%
	1	Yes	100	9.5%
	3	ID'd victims skipped	15	1.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	5	.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		681	64.8%

UC23				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	583		
	Label	Was the offender deceptive about his physical appearance?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	324	30.8%
	1	Yes	26	2.5%
	3	ID'd victims skipped	15	1.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	5	.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		681	64.8%

UC25				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	584		
	Label	Was the offender deceptive about his identity like employment or residence?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	301	28.6%
	1	Yes	48	4.6%
	3	ID'd victims skipped	15	1.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	6	.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		681	64.8%

UC27				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	585		

Label	Was the offender deceptive about his sexual motives?		
Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	336	32.0%
	1	Yes	15	1.4%
	3	ID'd victims skipped	15	1.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	3	.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	1	.1%
	System		681	64.8%

UC29				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	586		
	Label	Was the offender deceptive about anything else?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	335	31.9%
	1	Yes	16	1.5%
	3	ID'd victims skipped	15	1.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		681	64.8%

UC31				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	587		
	Label	Did the offender bring up sex or sex-related topics to the agent when they were online together?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	4	.4%
	1	Yes	354	33.7%
	3	ID'd victims skipped	14	1.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	1	.1%
	System		676	64.3%

UC32

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	588		
	Label	How many online interactions occurred before the offender brought up sex or sex-related topics to the agent?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	1	1	279	26.5%
	2	2-5	60	5.7%
	3	6-10	6	.6%
	4	11-20	0	.0%
	5	21-30	1	.1%
	6	31-100	1	.1%
	7	More than 100	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	7	.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		697	66.3%

UC33

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	589		
	Label	Did the offender ask the agent to masturbate or engage in other sexual acts while they were online together?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	196	18.6%
	1	Yes	144	13.7%
	3	ID'd victims skipped	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	11	1.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		699	66.5%

UC34

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	590		
	Label	Did the offender indicate he was masturbating or engaging in other sexual acts while online with the		

		agent?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	199	18.9%
	1	Yes	142	13.5%
	3	ID'd victims skipped	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	10	1.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		699	66.5%

UC35				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	591		
	Label	Did the offender harass or stalk the agent online?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	337	32.1%
	1	Yes	17	1.6%
	3	ID'd victims skipped	15	1.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		681	64.8%

UC36a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	592		
	Label	The offender used sexually threatening or nasty emails to harass or stalk the agent		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	15	1.4%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1034	98.4%

UC36b	

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	593		
	Label	The offender used derogatory or hateful or threatening postings to harass or stalk the agent		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	17	1.6%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1034	98.4%

UC36c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	594		
	Label	The offender used persistent unwelcome attempts at online contact to harass or stalk the agent		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	5	.5%
	1	Yes	12	1.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1034	98.4%

UC36d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	595		
	Label	The offender used something else to harass or stalk the agent		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	15	1.4%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1034	98.4%

UC37

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	596		
	Label	Did the offender harass or stalk the agent offline?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	350	33.3%
	1	Yes	4	.4%
	3	ID'd victims skipped	15	1.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		681	64.8%

UC38a

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	597		
	Label	The offender made unwelcome telephone calls to harass or stalk the agent		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	3	.3%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1047	99.6%

UC38b

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	598		
	Label	The offender sent unwelcome items through the mail to harass or stalk the agent		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	4	.4%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%

Values	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1047	99.6%

UC38c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	599		
	Label	The offender came to the home of the minor being impersonated to harass or stalk the agent		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	2	.2%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1047	99.6%

UC38d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	600		
	Label	The offender did something else offline to harass or stalk the agent		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	1	.1%
	1	Yes	3	.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1047	99.6%

UC40				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	601		
	Label	Did the offender use the Internet to send pictures to the agent?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	123	11.7%

			Count	Percent
	1	Yes	274	26.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		652	62.0%

UC41				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	602		
	Label	Were any of these pictures sexual in nature?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	119	11.3%
	1	Yes	155	14.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		777	73.9%

UC42a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	603		
	Label	The sexual pictures depicted the offender nude or in a sexual pose		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	27	2.6%
	1	Yes	125	11.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		896	85.3%

UC42b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	604		
	Label	The sexual pictures depicted adult pornography		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		

Valid Values	0	No	120	11.4%
	1	Yes	31	2.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		896	85.3%

UC42c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	605		
	Label	The sexual pictures depicted child pornography		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	113	10.8%
	1	Yes	38	3.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		896	85.3%

UC42d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	606		
	Label	The sexual pictures pornography but not sure if adult or child		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	146	13.9%
	1	Yes	4	.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		896	85.3%

UC42e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	607		
	Label	The sexual pictures depicted something else		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom	Section	Undercover Investigation		

Attributes				
Valid Values	0	No	135	12.8%
	1	Yes	15	1.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		896	85.3%

UC43				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	608		
	Label	Did the offender ask the agent to take sexual pictures of the minor being impersonated or other minors?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	261	24.8%
	1	Yes	121	11.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	17	1.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		652	62.0%

UC45				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	609		
	Label	Did the offender offer to pay or give the agent anything in exchange for any of these sexual pictures?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	108	10.3%
	1	Yes	13	1.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		930	88.5%

UC47				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	610		
	Label	Did the agent receive money or anything else from the offender in		

		exchange for any of these images?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	116	11.0%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		930	88.5%

UC49				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	611		
	Label	Did the offender offer to pay or give the agent anything to perform sex acts with the minor being impersonated (excludes pictures)?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	352	33.5%
	1	Yes	21	2.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	3	.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	23	2.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		652	62.0%

UC52				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	612		
	Label	Did the agent receive this or something else for the requested sex acts?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	16	1.5%
	1	Yes	5	.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1030	98.0%

UC53				

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	613		
	Label	Did the agent have a webpage at a social networking site like MySpace or Facebook that portrayed the minor being impersonated?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	312	29.7%
	1	Yes	76	7.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	6	.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		652	62.0%

UC54				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	614		
	Label	Did the agent have a profile or other description posted someone online that portrayed the minor being impersonated?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	58	5.5%
	1	Yes	248	23.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	12	1.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		730	69.5%

UC55b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	615		
	Label	Did the agent post other personal information about the impersonated minor such as name or address or school or town?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	98	9.3%
	1	Yes	204	19.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	21	2.0%

98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
99	Not applicable	1	.1%
System		727	69.2%

UC55c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	616		
	Label	Did the agent post pictures of the minor being impersonated?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	192	18.3%
	1	Yes	118	11.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	14	1.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		727	69.2%

UC55d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	617		
	Label	Did the agent post sexually suggestive pictures of the minor being impersonated?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	302	28.7%
	1	Yes	9	.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	13	1.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		727	69.2%

UC55e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	618		
	Label	Did the agent post sexually suggestive comments about the minor being impersonated?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid	0	No	311	29.6%

Values	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	12	1.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		727	69.2%

UC55f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	619		
	Label	Did the agent post something else related to this case about the minor being impersonated?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	308	29.3%
	1	Yes	4	.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	12	1.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		727	69.2%

UC59a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	620		
	Label	Did the offender use the agents social networking site to get information about the impersonated minors likes or interests?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	158	15.0%
	1	Yes	99	9.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	51	4.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	16	1.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		727	69.2%

UC59b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	621		
	Label	Did the offender use the agents social networking site to get information about the impersonated minors whereabouts		

		at a specific time?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	235	22.4%
	1	Yes	23	2.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	50	4.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	16	1.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		727	69.2%

UC59c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	622		
	Label	Did the offender use the agents social networking site to look at pictures of the impersonated minor?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	188	17.9%
	1	Yes	83	7.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	37	3.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	16	1.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		727	69.2%

UC59d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	623		
	Label	Did the offender use the agents social networking site to get in touch with the impersonated minors friends?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	269	25.6%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	38	3.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	16	1.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		727	69.2%

UC59e				
		Value	Count	Percent

Standard Attributes	Position	624		
	Label	Did the offender use the agents social networking site for something else related to this case?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	266	25.3%
	1	Yes	8	.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	34	3.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	16	1.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		727	69.2%

UC61				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	625		
	Label	Did the offender use the Internet to secretly gather information about the minor being impersonated?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	251	23.9%
	1	Yes	72	6.9%
	3	ID'd victims skipped	14	1.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	36	3.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	1	.1%
	System		677	64.4%

UC63				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	626		
	Label	Were there any telephone conversations between the offender and agent?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	210	20.0%
	1	Yes	183	17.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		654	62.2%

UC64				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	627		
	Label	Was there a face to face meeting between the offender and law enforcement agents?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	99	9.4%
	1	Yes	298	28.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		654	62.2%

UC65				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	628		
	Label	Where did the meeting take place?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	1	A public place (mall, park, store, etc)	183	17.4%
	2	A hotel or motel	18	1.7%
	3	The offender's home	5	.5%
	4	Other residence	65	6.2%
	5	Other (specify)	27	2.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		753	71.6%

UC67				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	629		
	Label	Did a young looking undercover agent pose as the impersonated minor at this meeting?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom	Section	Undercover Investigation		

Attributes				
Valid Values	0	No	204	19.4%
	1	Yes	67	6.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	26	2.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		753	71.6%

UC68				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	630		
	Label	Was the offender identified before the meeting took place?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	48	4.6%
	1	Yes	247	23.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	1	.1%
	System		753	71.6%

UC69				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	631		
	Label	How many miles from the offenders home was the meeting place?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	1	Less than 10 miles	61	5.8%
	2	Over 10 to 50 miles	138	13.1%
	3	Over 50 to 300 miles	78	7.4%
	4	Over 300 to 500 miles	4	.4%
	5	Over 500 to 1000 miles	2	.2%
	6	More than 1000 miles	7	.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	2	.2%
	System		757	72.0%

UC70				
		Value	Count	Percent

Standard Attributes	Position	632		
	Label	Did the offender travel across state lines to get to the meeting?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	247	23.5%
	1	Yes	47	4.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		757	72.0%

UC71				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	633		
	Label	Did the offender travel across international boundaries to get to the meeting?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	290	27.6%
	1	Yes	4	.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		757	72.0%

UC72				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	634		
	Label	Did the offender bring any sex-related items with him to the meeting place?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	0	.0%
	1	Yes	155	14.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	5	.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	138	13.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		753	71.6%

UC73

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	635		
	Label	Did the agent ask the offender to bring any of these items?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	106	10.1%
	1	Yes	44	4.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	5	.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		896	85.3%

UC74				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	636		
	Label	Did the offender bring a camera or video recorder?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	0	.0%
	1	Yes	72	6.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	7	.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	219	20.8%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		753	71.6%

UC75				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	637		
	Label	Did the offender bring alcohol or drugs or drug paraphernalia?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	0	.0%
	1	Yes	42	4.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	5	.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	251	23.9%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		753	71.6%

UC76

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	638		
	Label	Did the offender bring any weapons or other items that suggested he intended violence?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	0	.0%
	1	Yes	15	1.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	281	26.7%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		753	71.6%

UC78

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	639		
	Label	Did the offender bring anything else to this meeting that is relevant to this case?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Undercover Investigation		
Valid Values	0	No	0	.0%
	1	Yes	72	6.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	224	21.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		753	71.6%

O01

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	640		
	Label	What kind of area did the offender live in at the time of the crime?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	1	Urban	265	25.2%
	2	Suburban	314	29.9%
	3	A large town of 25,000 to 100,000 people	114	10.8%
	4	A small town	193	18.4%
	5	Rural	149	14.2%

Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	15	1.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O02				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	641		
	Label	How much schooling did the offender have?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	1	Did not finish high school	82	7.8%
	2	Graduated high school	324	30.8%
	3	Had some college education or technical training	194	18.5%
	4	Graduated college	153	14.6%
	5	Has a post college degree	29	2.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	267	25.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O03a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	642		
	Label	Was the offender employed full time at the time of the crime?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	297	28.3%
	1	Yes	708	67.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	46	4.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O03b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	643		
	Label	Was the offender employed part time at the time of the crime?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid	0	No	957	91.1%

Values	1	Yes	51	4.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	37	3.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	6	.6%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O03c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	644		
	Label	Was the offender unemployed or retired or disabled at the time of the crime?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	829	78.9%
	1	Yes	180	17.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	37	3.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O03d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	645		
	Label	Was the offender in school at the time of the crime?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	897	85.3%
	1	Yes	87	8.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	39	3.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	28	2.7%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O03e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	646		
	Label	Did the offender have an illegal occupation at the time of the crime?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	851	81.0%
	1	Yes	23	2.2%
Missing	97	Do not know/Not sure	35	3.3%

| Values | 98 | Not ascertainable/Refused | 142 | 13.5% |
| | 99 | Not applicable | 0 | .0% |

O03f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	647		
	Label	Was the offender doing something for money at the time of the crime?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	890	84.7%
	1	Yes	8	.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	36	3.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	117	11.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O05				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	648		
	Label	Did his job provide the offender with access to children?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	578	55.0%
	1	Yes	174	16.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	79	7.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	8	.8%
	99	Not applicable	45	4.3%
	System		167	15.9%

O06				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	649		
	Label	What was the approximate income of the offenders household at the time of the crime?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	1	Less than $20,000	156	14.8%
	2	Over $20,000 to $50,000	383	36.4%
	3	Over $50,000 to $80,000	177	16.8%
	4	Over $80,000	114	10.8%

Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	218	20.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O07				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	650		
	Label	What was the offenders marital status at the time of the crime?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	1	Single, never married	513	48.8%
	2	Married	276	26.3%
	3	Living with a partner	60	5.7%
	4	Separated, divorced, or widowed	166	15.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	35	3.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O08a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	651		
	Label	The offender was living alone at the time of the crime		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	764	72.7%
	1	Yes	255	24.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	32	3.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O08b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	652		
	Label	The offender was living with a spouse or partner at the time of the crime		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	656	62.4%
	1	Yes	364	34.6%

Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	31	2.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O08c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	653		
	Label	The offender was living with one or more of his own children (under 18) at the time of the crime		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	846	80.5%
	1	Yes	171	16.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	34	3.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O08d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	654		
	Label	The offender was living with one or more of his stepchildren (under 18) at the time of the crime		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	959	91.2%
	1	Yes	58	5.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	34	3.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O08e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	655		
	Label	The offender was living with one or more other children (under 18) at the time of the crime		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	974	92.7%
	1	Yes	42	4.0%

Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	35	3.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O08f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	656		
	Label	The offender was living with parents at the time of the crime		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	768	73.1%
	1	Yes	249	23.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	34	3.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O08g				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	657		
	Label	The offender was living other adult relatives at the time of the crime		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	924	87.9%
	1	Yes	92	8.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	34	3.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O08h				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	658		
	Label	The offender was living with other adults at the time of the crime		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	909	86.5%
	1	Yes	106	10.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	35	3.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O08i				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	659		
	Label	The offender was living with someone else at the time of the crime		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	999	95.1%
	1	Yes	18	1.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	33	3.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O09a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	660		
	Label	The offender has unsupervised face to face contact with minor children of his own that he did not live with		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	835	79.4%
	1	Yes	102	9.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	112	10.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O09b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	661		
	Label	The offender has unsupervised face to face contact with other children he is related to		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	665	63.3%
	1	Yes	192	18.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	192	18.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

<table>
<tr><td colspan="4" align="center">O09c</td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td></td><td>Value</td><td>Count</td><td>Percent</td></tr>
</table>

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	662		
	Label	The offender has unsupervised face to face contact with minors known through organized groups of activities		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	800	76.1%
	1	Yes	120	11.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	129	12.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

		O09d		
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	663		
	Label	The offender has unsupervised face to face contact with other minors such as neighbors or family friends		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	570	54.2%
	1	Yes	324	30.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	156	14.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

		O10		
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	664		
	Label	Has the offender ever been clinically or medically diagnosed as a pedophile or as having another sexual disorder?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	963	91.6%
	1	Yes	13	1.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	72	6.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O11a			Count	Percent
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	665		
	Label	The offender has been diagnosed as a pedophile		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	1	.1%
	1	Yes	5	.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	6	.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1038	98.8%

O11b			Count	Percent
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	666		
	Label	The offender has been diagnosed as a sexual compulsive or sex addict		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	7	.7%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1038	98.8%

O11c			Count	Percent
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	667		
	Label	The offender has been diagnosed as a with some other sexual disorder		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	7	.7%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	3	.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

System			1038	98.8%

O13				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	668		
	Label	Did the offender have any prior arrests for sexual offending?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	930	88.5%
	1	Yes	110	10.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	10	1.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O14				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	669		
	Label	Did any of these prior arrests involve sex crimes against juveniles?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	11	1.0%
	1	Yes	95	9.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		941	89.5%

O15a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	670		
	Label	The crime against juveniles was rape or sodomy or sexual abuse or molestation		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	38	3.6%
	1	Yes	55	5.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

System			956	91.0%

O15b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	671		
	Label	The crime against juveniles was child pornography possession or distribution		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	71	6.8%
	1	Yes	22	2.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		956	91.0%

O15c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	672		
	Label	The crime against juveniles was contributing to the delinquency of a minor or lewd acts or corrupting morals		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	74	7.0%
	1	Yes	19	1.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		956	91.0%

O15d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	673		
	Label	The crime against juveniles was online enticement		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	84	8.0%
	1	Yes	8	.8%
Missing	97	Do not know/Not sure	3	.3%

Values	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		956	91.0%

O15e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	674		
	Label	The crime against juveniles was child prostitution or a similar charge		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	92	8.8%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		956	91.0%

O15f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	675		
	Label	The crime against juveniles was something else		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	90	8.6%
	1	Yes	3	.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		956	91.0%

O16				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	676		
	Label	Did any of these priors arrests involve sex crimes against adults?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	89	8.5%
	1	Yes	12	1.1%

Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	8	.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		941	89.5%

O17a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	677		
	Label	The crime against adults was rape or attempted rape		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	7	.7%
	1	Yes	4	.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1039	98.9%

O17b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	678		
	Label	The crime against adults was soliciting a prostitute		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	11	1.0%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1039	98.9%

O17c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	679		
	Label	The crime against adults was exposure or public lewdness		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	8	.8%

	1	Yes	3	.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1039	98.9%

O17d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	680		
	Label	The crime against adults was something else		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	7	.7%
	1	Yes	5	.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1039	98.9%

O18				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	681		
	Label	Was the offender a registered sex offender at the time of the crime?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	51	4.9%
	1	Yes	54	5.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		941	89.5%

O19				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	682		
	Label	Had the offender ever been reported to a child protective service agency for allegations of sexual or physical abuse or neglect?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom	Section	Offender Information		

Attributes				
Valid Values	0	No	915	87.1%
	1	Yes	62	5.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	72	6.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O20a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	683		
	Label	The CPS allegations were sexual abuse		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	15	1.4%
	1	Yes	45	4.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		989	94.1%

O20b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	684		
	Label	The CPS allegations were physical abuse		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	57	5.4%
	1	Yes	3	.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		989	94.1%

O20c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	685		
	Label	The CPS allegations were neglect		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom	Section	Offender Information		

Attributes				
Valid Values	0	No	49	4.7%
	1	Yes	11	1.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		989	94.1%

O20d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	686		
	Label	The CPS allegations were something else		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	54	5.1%
	1	Yes	6	.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		989	94.1%

O21				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	687		
	Label	Did the offender use the Internet to converse with other people who were interested in or involved with child pornography or other child abuse?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	481	45.8%
	1	Yes	329	31.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	240	22.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O22				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	688		
	Label	Was there any evidence that the offender is sexually deviant in ways that do not involve children?		

	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	797	75.8%
	1	Yes	205	19.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	48	4.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O23a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	689		
	Label	The evidence was paraphernalia like handcuffs		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	151	14.4%
	1	Yes	54	5.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		846	80.5%

O23b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	690		
	Label	The evidence was photographs or scrapbooks or other memorabilia		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	165	15.7%
	1	Yes	40	3.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		846	80.5%

O23c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	691		
	Label	The evidence was adult pornography		

	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	83	7.9%
	1	Yes	121	11.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		846	80.5%

O23d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	692		
	Label	The evidence was statements from others		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	156	14.8%
	1	Yes	49	4.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		846	80.5%

O23e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	693		
	Label	The evidence was something else		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	150	14.3%
	1	Yes	55	5.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		846	80.5%

O25a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	694		
	Label	The deviance was bondage or		

		discipline		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	112	10.7%
	1	Yes	91	8.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		846	80.5%

O25b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	695		
	Label	The deviance was fetishism		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	136	12.9%
	1	Yes	66	6.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		846	80.5%

O25c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	696		
	Label	The deviance was sadism or inflicting pain or sexual slavery		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	155	14.7%
	1	Yes	48	4.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		846	80.5%

O25d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	697		
	Label	The deviance was exhibitionism or voyeurism		

		Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information			
Valid Values	0	No		157	14.9%
	1	Yes		46	4.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		1	.1%
	99	Not applicable		0	.0%
	System			846	80.5%

O25e					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	698			
	Label	The deviance was swinging or group sex among adults			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information			
Valid Values	0	No		162	15.4%
	1	Yes		41	3.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		1	.1%
	99	Not applicable		0	.0%
	System			846	80.5%

O25f					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	699			
	Label	The deviance was something else			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information			
Valid Values	0	No		106	10.1%
	1	Yes		97	9.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		1	.1%
	99	Not applicable		0	.0%
	System			846	80.5%

O26					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	700			
	Label	Did the offender have any problems			

		with drugs or alcohol at the time of the crime?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	815	77.5%
	1	Yes	187	17.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	49	4.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O27				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	701		
	Label	Did the offender have a diagnosed mental illness at the time of the crime?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	937	89.2%
	1	Yes	54	5.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	58	5.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O28a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	702		
	Label	The mental illness was depression or anxiety or suicidal thoughts or actions		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	19	1.8%
	1	Yes	29	2.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	6	.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		997	94.9%

O28b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	703		
	Label	The mental illness was ADHD or		

		other learning disorder		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	40	3.8%
	1	Yes	9	.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	5	.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		997	94.9%

O28c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	704		
	Label	The mental illness was obsessive-compulsive disorder		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	46	4.4%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	6	.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		997	94.9%

O28d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	705		
	Label	The mental illness was something else or unspecified		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	33	3.1%
	1	Yes	19	1.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		997	94.9%

O29				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard	Position	706		

Attributes	Label	Did the offender have any prior arrests for nonsexual offenses?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	729	69.4%
	1	Yes	268	25.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	53	5.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O30a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	707		
	Label	The prior arrest was a non-violent property crime		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	171	16.3%
	1	Yes	82	7.8%
	22		1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	12	1.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		783	74.5%

O30b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	708		
	Label	The prior arrest was a drug-related offense		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	192	18.3%
	1	Yes	62	5.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	12	1.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		783	74.5%

O30c				
		Value	Count	Percent

Standard Attributes	Position	709		
	Label	The prior arrest was DUI or other alcohol related offense		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	200	19.0%
	1	Yes	54	5.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	12	1.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		783	74.5%

O30d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	710		
	Label	The prior arrest was a traffic offense that was not alcohol related		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	218	20.7%
	1	Yes	36	3.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	12	1.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		783	74.5%

O30e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	711		
	Label	The prior arrest was burglary or prowling or criminal trespassing		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	224	21.3%
	1	Yes	31	2.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	11	1.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		783	74.5%

O30f				

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	712		
	Label	The prior arrest was a violent crime		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	210	20.0%
	1	Yes	45	4.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	11	1.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		783	74.5%

O30g				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	713		
	Label	The prior arrest was an unspecified or unknown offense or misdemeanor		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	227	21.6%
	1	Yes	30	2.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	9	.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		783	74.5%

O30h				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	714		
	Label	The prior arrest was an unspecified or unknown felony		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	250	23.8%
	1	Yes	8	.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	8	.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		783	74.5%

O30i			Count	Percent
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	715		
	Label	The prior arrest was something else		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	230	21.9%
	1	Yes	28	2.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	8	.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		783	74.5%

O31			Count	Percent
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	716		
	Label	Has the offender even been violent?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	900	85.6%
	1	Yes	114	10.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	36	3.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O32			Count	Percent
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	717		
	Label	Was O aggressive physically or verbally toward the police at any point during the investigation?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	995	94.7%
	1	Yes	49	4.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	6	.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O33

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	718		
	Label	Where was the computer the offender used most located?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	1	Home	799	76.0%
	2	Work	61	5.8%
	3	Some other household	12	1.1%
	4	A library or Internet cafe	25	2.4%
	5	A school	5	.5%
	6	Used laptop or other digital device in multiple places	119	11.3%
	7	Other	0	.0%
	8	Cell phones only	7	.7%
	9	O did not use a computer or other device	4	.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	16	1.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O34				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	719		
	Label	Did the offender use wireless technology to access the Internet with this computer?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	641	61.0%
	1	Yes	246	23.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	162	15.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O35				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	720		
	Label	Did the offender use computers in any other locations as part of this crime?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		

Valid Values	0	No	813	77.4%
	1	Yes	162	15.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	71	6.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O36a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	721		
	Label	The offender also used a computer at home		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	105	10.0%
	1	Yes	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		889	84.6%

O36b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	722		
	Label	The offender also used a computer at work		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	117	11.1%
	1	Yes	45	4.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		889	84.6%

O36c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	723		
	Label	The offender also used a computer at some other household		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid	0	No	150	14.3%

Values	1	Yes	12	1.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		889	84.6%

O36d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	724		
	Label	The offender also used a computer at a library		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	153	14.6%
	1	Yes	9	.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		889	84.6%

O36e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	725		
	Label	The offender also used a computer at a school		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	157	14.9%
	1	Yes	5	.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		889	84.6%

O36f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	726		
	Label	The offender also used a laptop or other digital device in multiple places		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		

Valid Values	0	No	99	9.4%
	1	Yes	63	6.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		889	84.6%

O36g				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	727		
	Label	The offender also used a computer somewhere else		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	151	14.4%
	1	Yes	11	1.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		889	84.6%

O37				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	728		
	Label	Did the offender use wireless technology to access the Internet with any of these computers?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	70	6.7%
	1	Yes	62	5.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	30	2.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		889	84.6%

O38a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	729		
	Label	The offender used a webcam or live streaming video in this case		
	Type	Numeric		

Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	913	86.9%
	1	Yes	116	11.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	21	2.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O38b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	730		
	Label	The offender used a cell phone to transmit child pornography in this case		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	1015	96.6%
	1	Yes	15	1.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	20	1.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O38c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	731		
	Label	The offender used a cell phone to transmit adult pornography in this case		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	1019	97.0%
	1	Yes	11	1.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	20	1.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O38d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	732		
	Label	The offender used a GPS tracking system in this case		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom	Section	Offender Information		

Attributes				
Valid Values	0	No	990	94.2%
	1	Yes	4	.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	56	5.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O38e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	733		
	Label	The offender used an XBox or other gaming system in this case		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	969	92.2%
	1	Yes	25	2.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	56	5.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O40				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	734		
	Label	Was a search conducted by any LEA as part of this investigation?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	75	7.1%
	1	Yes	973	92.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O41a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	735		
	Label	The search came about through a search warrant		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	226	21.5%
	1	Yes	742	70.6%

Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	5	.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		78	7.4%

O41b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	736		
	Label	The search came about through consent		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	723	68.8%
	1	Yes	246	23.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		78	7.4%

O41c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	737		
	Label	The search came about through a condition or probation or parole		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	959	91.2%
	1	Yes	13	1.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		78	7.4%

O41d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	738		
	Label	The search came about through a vehicle search		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	569	54.1%

	1	Yes	397	37.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	7	.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		78	7.4%

O41e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	739		
	Label	The search came about some other way		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	957	91.1%
	1	Yes	14	1.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		78	7.4%

O43				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	740		
	Label	Did your agency do the search?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	102	9.7%
	1	Yes	870	82.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		78	7.4%

O45				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	741		
	Label	Was a pre-search conducted on any digital evidence?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	1	Yes	242	23.0%

	2	No, there was digital evidence, but no pre-search	693	65.9%
	3	No, there was no digital evidence	69	6.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	35	3.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	9	.9%
	System		2	.2%

O46				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	742		
	Label	Was any digital evidence seized or handed over (including victims)?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	32	3.0%
	1	Yes	946	90.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	3	.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		68	6.5%

O47a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	743		
	Label	The digital evidence was the offenders		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	90	8.6%
	1	Yes	855	81.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		105	10.0%

O47b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	744		
	Label	The digital evidence was the victims		
	Type	Numeric		

Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	875	83.3%
	1	Yes	70	6.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		105	10.0%

O47c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	745		
	Label	The digital evidence was an employers or business associates		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	866	82.4%
	1	Yes	80	7.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		105	10.0%

O47d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	746		
	Label	The digital evidence was a spouses or partners		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	875	83.3%
	1	Yes	67	6.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	3	.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		105	10.0%

O47e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	747		
	Label	The digital evidence was a parent or family member or roommates		
	Type	Numeric		

Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	825	78.5%
	1	Yes	120	11.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		105	10.0%

O47f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	748		
	Label	The digital evidence was a school or librarys		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	926	88.1%
	1	Yes	20	1.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		105	10.0%

O47g				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	749		
	Label	The digital evidence was someone elses		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	909	86.5%
	1	Yes	37	3.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		105	10.0%

O48a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	750		
	Label	The digital evidence was a		

		desktop computer			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information			
Valid Values	0	No	157	14.9%	
	1	Yes	785	74.7%	
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	3	.3%	
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%	
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%	
	System		105	10.0%	

O48b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	751		
	Label	The digital evidence was a laptop computer		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	627	59.7%
	1	Yes	311	29.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	7	.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		105	10.0%

O48c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	752		
	Label	The digital evidence was additional hard drives including thumb drives		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	626	59.6%
	1	Yes	307	29.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	12	1.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		105	10.0%

O48d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	753		

	Label	The digital evidence was cameras		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	535	50.9%
	1	Yes	401	38.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	9	.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		105	10.0%

O48e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	754		
	Label	The digital evidence was a server		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	840	79.9%
	1	Yes	92	8.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	12	1.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		105	10.0%

O48f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	755		
	Label	The digital evidence was CDs or disks or other removable storage media		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	382	36.3%
	1	Yes	545	51.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	18	1.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		105	10.0%

O48g				
		Value	Count	Percent

Standard Attributes	Position	756		
	Label	The digital evidence was something else		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	887	84.4%
	1	Yes	49	4.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	9	.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		105	10.0%

O50				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	757		
	Label	Was a forensic examination done on any of these items?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	52	4.9%
	1	Yes	883	84.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	9	.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		105	10.0%

O51				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	758		
	Label	Was the forensic examination done by your agency?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	403	38.3%
	1	Yes	478	45.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		168	16.0%

O53				

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	759		
	Label	Was this a full forensic examination or all digital evidence or a partial examination?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	1	Full	735	69.9%
	2	Partial	91	8.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	52	4.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		168	16.0%

timeforensic_r				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	760		
	Label	How long did it take to get the results of the forensic exam?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	1	1 day or less	38	3.6%
	2	>1 to 7 days	132	12.6%
	3	>1 wk to 1 month	205	19.5%
	4	>1 to 6 months	297	28.3%
	5	>6 to 12 months	40	3.8%
	6	>1 to 3 years	4	.4%
	7	>3 to 5 years	1	.1%
	8	>5 years	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	19	1.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	42	4.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		273	26.0%

O55				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	761		
	Label	Were any other illegal items seized like drugs or weapons?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	922	87.7%

	1	Yes	121	11.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	5	.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O56a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	762		
	Label	Weapons were seized		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	87	8.3%
	1	Yes	34	3.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		930	88.5%

O56b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	763		
	Label	Drugs or drug paraphernalia were seized		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	26	2.5%
	1	Yes	95	9.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		930	88.5%

O56c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	764		
	Label	Stolen property was seized		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	112	10.7%
	1	Yes	9	.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%

99	Not applicable	0	.0%
System		930	88.5%

O56d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	765		
	Label	Counterfeit money was seized		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	121	11.5%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		930	88.5%

O56e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	766		
	Label	Explosives or ingredients for explosives were seized		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	120	11.4%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		930	88.5%

O56f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	767		
	Label	Some other illegal items were seized		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	116	11.0%
	1	Yes	5	.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

System			930	88.5%

O57				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	768		
	Label	Were any other items seized?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	750	71.4%
	1	Yes	288	27.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	8	.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O58a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	769		
	Label	Photographs or videos were seized		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	227	21.6%
	1	Yes	61	5.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		763	72.6%

O58b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	770		
	Label	Cameras or photo and video equipment were seized		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	225	21.4%
	1	Yes	63	6.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		763	72.6%

O58c

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	771		
	Label	Diaries or journals or note or letters or personal items were seized		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	166	15.8%
	1	Yes	122	11.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		763	72.6%

O58d

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	772		
	Label	Adult pornography was seized		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	234	22.3%
	1	Yes	53	5.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		763	72.6%

O58e

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	773		
	Label	Sexual items like condoms were seized		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	183	17.4%
	1	Yes	105	10.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		763	72.6%

O58f

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	774		
	Label	Clothing or underwear were seized		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	211	20.1%
	1	Yes	77	7.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		763	72.6%

O58g				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	775		
	Label	Something else was seized		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	204	19.4%
	1	Yes	84	8.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		763	72.6%

O59				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	776		
	Label	Did the offender confess to any of the main crimes he was charged with in this case?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	229	21.8%
	1	Yes	808	76.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	12	1.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O60a				
		Value	Count	Percent

Standard Attributes	Position	777		
	Label	The offender is currently in custody		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	474	45.1%
	1	Yes	509	48.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	68	6.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O60b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	778		
	Label	The offender is currently out on bail or bond		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	832	79.2%
	1	Yes	153	14.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	62	5.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O60c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	779		
	Label	The offender is currently on probation or parole		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	769	73.2%
	1	Yes	215	20.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	63	6.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O60d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	780		
	Label	The offender is currently deceased		

	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	989	94.1%
	1	Yes	12	1.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	46	4.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O60e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	781		
	Label	The offender is currently still at large		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	995	94.7%
	1	Yes	6	.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	46	4.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O60f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	782		
	Label	The offender is currently finished serving sentence		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	960	91.3%
	1	Yes	26	2.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	61	5.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O60g				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	783		
	Label	The offender is currently awaiting prosecution		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		

Valid Values	0	No	849	80.8%
	1	Yes	150	14.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	48	4.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O60h				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	784		
	Label	The offender is currently awaiting sentencing		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	955	90.9%
	1	Yes	42	4.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	50	4.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O60i				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	785		
	Label	The offenders status is something else		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	948	90.2%
	1	Yes	56	5.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	43	4.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O62a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	786		
	Label	The charges against the offender were federal charges		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	756	71.9%
	1	Yes	290	27.6%
Missing	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%

| Values | 98 | Not ascertainable/Refused | 1 | .1% |
| | 99 | Not applicable | 0 | .0% |

O62b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	787		
	Label	The charges against the offender were state charges		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	258	24.5%
	1	Yes	792	75.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O62c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	788		
	Label	The charges against the offender were other charges		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	1025	97.5%
	1	Yes	25	2.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O63a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	789		
	Label	The Federal charges were related to child pornography possession or distribution		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	68	6.5%
	1	Yes	221	21.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

| System | | 761 | 72.4% |

O63b

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	790		
	Label	The Federal charges were related to child pornography production		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	223	21.2%
	1	Yes	66	6.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		761	72.4%

O63c

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	791		
	Label	The Federal charges were related to online enticement or online seduction		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	239	22.7%
	1	Yes	49	4.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		761	72.4%

O63d

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	792		
	Label	The Federal charges were related to illegal sexual activity with an underage person such as statutory rape		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	277	26.4%
	1	Yes	12	1.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%

		99	Not applicable		0	.0%
		System			761	72.4%

O63e						
			Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position		793			
	Label	The Federal charges were related to child prostitution or similar charges				
	Type	Numeric				
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information				
Valid Values	0	No			281	26.7%
	1	Yes			8	.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure			1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused			0	.0%
	99	Not applicable			0	.0%
	System				761	72.4%

O63f						
			Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position		794			
	Label	The Federal charges were related to other child sexual assault or abuse charges like attempts				
	Type	Numeric				
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information				
Valid Values	0	No			263	25.0%
	1	Yes			25	2.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure			2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused			0	.0%
	99	Not applicable			0	.0%
	System				761	72.4%

O63g						
			Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position		795			
	Label	The Federal charges were related to lewd and lascivious acts				
	Type	Numeric				
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information				
Valid Values	0	No			286	27.2%
	1	Yes			2	.2%

Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		761	72.4%

O63h				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	796		
	Label	The Federal charges were related to abduction or kidnapping		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	287	27.3%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		761	72.4%

O63i				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	797		
	Label	The Federal charges were related to physical assault or robbery or other crimes of violence		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	289	27.5%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		761	72.4%

O63j				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	798		
	Label	The Federal charges were related to other non-sexual charges		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid	0	No	284	27.0%

Values	1	Yes	5	.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		761	72.4%

O63k				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	799		
	Label	The Federal charges were related to something else		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	275	26.2%
	1	Yes	14	1.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		761	72.4%

O64				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	800		
	Label	What is the current status of the Federal case?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	1	Guilty plea	236	22.5%
	2	Convicted at trial	10	1.0%
	3	Charges dropped or dismissed	6	.6%
	4	Acquitted	0	.0%
	5	Awaiting trial or the case is otherwise pending	26	2.5%
	6	Other (specify)	6	.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	6	.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		761	72.4%

O66a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard	Position	801		

Attributes	Label	The Federal sentence was incarceration		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	13	1.2%
	1	Yes	225	21.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	8	.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		805	76.6%

O66b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	802		
	Label	The Federal sentence was suspended or deferred sentence		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	238	22.6%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	8	.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		805	76.6%

O66c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	803		
	Label	The Federal sentence was other alternative to incarceration		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	236	22.5%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	8	.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		805	76.6%

O66d				
		Value	Count	Percent

Standard Attributes	Position	804		
	Label	The Federal sentence was probation		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	59	5.6%
	1	Yes	171	16.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	16	1.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		805	76.6%

O66e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	805		
	Label	The Federal sentence was a fine		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	195	18.6%
	1	Yes	36	3.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	15	1.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		805	76.6%

O66f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	806		
	Label	The Federal sentence is awaiting sentencing		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	229	21.8%
	1	Yes	14	1.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	3	.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		805	76.6%

O66g				
		Value	Count	Percent

Standard Attributes	Position	807		
	Label	The Federal sentence was something else		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	237	22.5%
	1	Yes	5	.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		805	76.6%

timefed_r				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	808		
	Label	Length of federal incarceration		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	1	1 day or less	2	.2%
	2	>1 to 7 days	0	.0%
	3	>1 wk to 1 month	0	.0%
	4	>1 to 6 months	1	.1%
	5	>6 to 12 months	0	.0%
	6	>1 to 3 years	16	1.5%
	7	>3 to 5 years	42	4.0%
	8	>5 years	150	14.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	9	.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		826	78.6%

O68a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	809		
	Label	The State charges were related to child pornography possession or distribution		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	433	41.2%
	1	Yes	356	33.9%
Missing	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%

Values	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		259	24.6%

O68b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	810		
	Label	The State charges were related to child pornography production		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	735	69.9%
	1	Yes	54	5.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		259	24.6%

O68c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	811		
	Label	The State charges were related to online enticement or online seduction		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	485	46.1%
	1	Yes	304	28.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		259	24.6%

O68d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	812		
	Label	The State charges were related to illegal sexual activity with an underage person such as statutory rape		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	647	61.6%

			142	13.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		259	24.6%

O68e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	813		
	Label	The State charges were related to child prostitution or similar charges		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	779	74.1%
	1	Yes	10	1.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		259	24.6%

O68f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	814		
	Label	The State charges were related to other child sexual assault or abuse charges like attempts		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	631	60.0%
	1	Yes	159	15.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		259	24.6%

O68g				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	815		
	Label	The State charges were related to lewd and lascivious acts		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		

Valid Values	0	No	716	68.1%
	1	Yes	73	6.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		259	24.6%

O68h				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	816		
	Label	The State charges were related to abduction or kidnapping		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	780	74.2%
	1	Yes	9	.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		259	24.6%

O68i				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	817		
	Label	The State charges were related to physical assault or robbery or other crimes of violence		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	784	74.6%
	1	Yes	5	.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		259	24.6%

O68j				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	818		
	Label	The State charges were related to other non-sexual charges		
	Type	Numeric		

Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	756	71.9%
	1	Yes	32	3.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	3	.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		259	24.6%

O68k				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	819		
	Label	The State charges were related to something else		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	742	70.6%
	1	Yes	47	4.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		259	24.6%

O69				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	820		
	Label	What is the current status of the State case?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	1	Guilty plea	496	47.2%
	2	Convicted at trial	23	2.2%
	3	Charges dropped or dismissed	41	3.9%
	4	Acquitted	0	.0%
	5	Awaiting trial or the case is otherwise pending	169	16.1%
	6	Other (specify)	20	1.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	42	4.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		259	24.6%

O71a

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	821		
	Label	The State sentence was incarceration		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	113	10.8%
	1	Yes	363	34.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	45	4.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		529	50.3%

O71b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	822		
	Label	The State sentence was suspended or deferred sentence		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	380	36.2%
	1	Yes	90	8.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	49	4.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		532	50.6%

O71c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	823		
	Label	The State sentence was other alternative to incarceration		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	459	43.7%
	1	Yes	13	1.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	47	4.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		532	50.6%

O71d

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	824		
	Label	The State sentence was probation		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	176	16.7%
	1	Yes	293	27.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	50	4.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		532	50.6%

O71e

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	825		
	Label	The State sentence was a fine		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	391	37.2%
	1	Yes	69	6.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	59	5.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		532	50.6%

O71f

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	826		
	Label	The State sentence is awaiting sentencing		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	466	44.3%
	1	Yes	20	1.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	33	3.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		532	50.6%

O71g

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	827		
	Label	The State sentence was something else		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	476	45.3%
	1	Yes	8	.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	35	3.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		532	50.6%

timestate_r

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	828		
	Label	Length of state incarceration		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	1	1 day or less	0	.0%
	2	>1 to 7 days	5	.5%
	3	>1 wk to 1 month	7	.7%
	4	>1 to 6 months	36	3.4%
	5	>6 to 12 months	41	3.9%
	6	>1 to 3 years	80	7.6%
	7	>3 to 5 years	46	4.4%
	8	>5 years	106	10.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	26	2.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	16	1.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		688	65.5%

O73a

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	829		
	Label	The Other charges were related to child pornography possession or distribution		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	7	.7%

	1	Yes	17	1.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1026	97.6%

O73b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	830		
	Label	The Other charges were related to child pornography production		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	24	2.3%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1026	97.6%

O73c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	831		
	Label	The Other charges were related to online enticement or online seduction		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	22	2.1%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1026	97.6%

O73d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	832		
	Label	The Other charges were related to illegal sexual activity with an underage person such as statutory rape		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		

Valid Values	0	No	22	2.1%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1026	97.6%

O73e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	833		
	Label	The Other charges were related to child prostitution or similar charges		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	24	2.3%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1026	97.6%

O73f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	834		
	Label	The Other charges were related to other child sexual assault or abuse charges like attempts		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	22	2.1%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1026	97.6%

O73g				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	835		
	Label	The Other charges were related to lewd and lascivious acts		
	Type	Numeric		

Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	23	2.2%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1026	97.6%

O73h				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	836		
	Label	The Other charges were related to abduction or kidnapping		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	24	2.3%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1026	97.6%

O73i				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	837		
	Label	The Other charges were related to physical assault or robbery or other crimes of violence		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	24	2.3%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1026	97.6%

O73j				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	838		
	Label	The Other charges were related to other non-sexual charges		

	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	23	2.2%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1026	97.6%

O73k				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	839		
	Label	The Other charges were juvenile crimes		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	16	1.5%
	1	Yes	8	.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1026	97.6%

O73l				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	840		
	Label	The Other charges were related to something else		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	24	2.3%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1026	97.6%

O74				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	841		
	Label	What is the current status of the		

		Other case?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	1	Guilty plea	14	1.3%
	2	Convicted at trial	0	.0%
	3	Charges dropped or dismissed	3	.3%
	4	Acquitted	0	.0%
	5	Awaiting trial or the case is otherwise pending	3	.3%
	6	Other (specify)	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1026	97.6%

O76a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	842		
	Label	The Other sentence was incarceration		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	6	.6%
	1	Yes	4	.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1037	98.7%

O76b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	843		
	Label	The Other sentence was suspended or deferred		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	9	.9%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	3	.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1037	98.7%

O76c			Count	Percent
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	844		
	Label	The Other sentence was other alternative to incarceration		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	8	.8%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	3	.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1037	98.7%

O76d			Count	Percent
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	845		
	Label	The Other sentence was probation		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	2	.2%
	1	Yes	7	.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1037	98.7%

O76e			Count	Percent
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	846		
	Label	The Other sentence was a fine		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	9	.9%
	1	Yes	1	.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	3	.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1037	98.7%

O76f			Count	Percent
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	847		
	Label	The Other sentence is awaiting sentencing		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	11	1.0%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1037	98.7%

O76g			Count	Percent
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	848		
	Label	The Other sentence was something else		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	8	.8%
	1	Yes	3	.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1037	98.7%

O77a			Count	Percent
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	849		
	Label	The Other incarceration was X days		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
N	Valid	0		
	Missing	1051		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	.		
	Standard Deviation	.		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%

| 98 | Not ascertainable/Refused | 0 | .0% |
| 99 | Not applicable | 0 | .0% |

O77b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	850		
	Label	The Other incarceration was X weeks		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
N	Valid	0		
	Missing	1051		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	.		
	Standard Deviation	.		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O77c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	851		
	Label	The Other incarceration was X months		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
N	Valid	3		
	Missing	1048		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	16.33		
	Standard Deviation	17.898		
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O77d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	852		
	Label	The Other incarceration was X years		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
N	Valid	0		
	Missing	1051		

Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	.				
	Standard Deviation	.				
Labeled Values	97	Do not know/Not sure			0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused			0	.0%
	99	Not applicable			0	.0%

O78a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	853		
	Label	The offender will be a registered sex offender as a result of this case		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	123	11.7%
	1	Yes	858	81.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	67	6.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O78b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	854		
	Label	The offender will be in a treatment program for sexual offenders as a result of this case		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	209	19.9%
	1	Yes	503	47.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	335	31.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O78c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	855		
	Label	The offender will be prohibited or limited from or monitored while using the Internet as a result of this case		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		

Valid Values	0	No	142	13.5%
	1	Yes	605	57.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	300	28.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O78d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	856		
	Label	The offender will be prohibited from contact or unsupervised contact with minors or victims as a result of this case		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	145	13.8%
	1	Yes	610	58.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	288	27.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	8	.8%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

O78e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	857		
	Label	The offender will be required to do something else as a result of this case		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Offender Information		
Valid Values	0	No	852	81.1%
	1	Yes	29	2.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	168	16.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

V01				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	858		
	Label	What kind of area did the Victim live in at the time of the crime?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		

Valid Values	1	Urban	65	6.2%
	2	Suburban	77	7.3%
	3	A large town of 25,000 to 100,000 people	38	3.6%
	4	A small town	79	7.5%
	5	Rural	47	4.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	7	.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V02a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	859		
	Label	The Victim lived with both parents		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	179	17.0%
	1	Yes	126	12.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	8	.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V02b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	860		
	Label	The Victim lived with mother and stepfather		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	260	24.7%
	1	Yes	46	4.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	7	.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V02c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	861		

	Label	The Victim lived with father and stepmother		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	297	28.3%
	1	Yes	9	.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	7	.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V02d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	862		
	Label	The Victim lived with mother only		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	227	21.6%
	1	Yes	79	7.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	7	.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V02e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	863		
	Label	The Victim lived with father only		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	291	27.7%
	1	Yes	15	1.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	7	.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V02f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	864		
	Label	The Victim lived with foster parents		

		or legal guardian		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	289	27.5%
	1	Yes	17	1.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	7	.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V02g				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	865		
	Label	The Victim lived with the offender		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	287	27.3%
	1	Yes	20	1.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	6	.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V02h				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	866		
	Label	The Victim lived with alone or on the street		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	305	29.0%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	6	.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V02i				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	867		

	Label	The Victim lived with someone else		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	289	27.5%
	1	Yes	18	1.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	6	.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V03				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	868		
	Label	The degree of the most educated person in the household		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	1	Did not finish high school	14	1.3%
	2	Graduated high school	82	7.8%
	3	Had some college education or technical training	34	3.2%
	4	Graduated college	48	4.6%
	5	Has a post college degree	6	.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	124	11.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	8	.8%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V04a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	869		
	Label	An adult was employed full time		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	30	2.9%
	1	Yes	230	21.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	48	4.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	8	.8%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V04b

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	870		
	Label	An adult was employed part time		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	245	23.3%
	1	Yes	15	1.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	48	4.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	8	.8%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V04c

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	871		
	Label	An adult was unemployed or retired or disabled		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	229	21.8%
	1	Yes	32	3.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	47	4.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	8	.8%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V04d

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	872		
	Label	An adult was in school		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	260	24.7%
	1	Yes	6	.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	42	4.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	8	.8%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V04e

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	873		
	Label	An adult had an illegal occupation		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	263	25.0%
	1	Yes	4	.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	41	3.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	8	.8%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V04f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	874		
	Label	An adult earned money some other way		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	267	25.4%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	39	3.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	8	.8%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V07				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	875		
	Label	What was the approximate annual income of the victims household at the time of the crime?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	1	Less than $20,000	35	3.3%
	2	Over $20,000 to $50,000	99	9.4%
	3	Over $50,000 to $80,000	45	4.3%
	4	Over $80,000	42	4.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	88	8.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	7	.7%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

	System		735	69.9%

V08				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	876		
	Label	Had the victim been physically or sexually abused prior to this crime?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	233	22.2%
	1	Yes	40	3.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	39	3.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V10a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	877		
	Label	The victim had failing grades in school		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	142	13.5%
	1	Yes	58	5.5%
	2	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	52	4.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	7	.7%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V10b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	878		
	Label	The victim had disciplinary problems at school		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	148	14.1%
	1	Yes	58	5.5%
	2	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%

Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	46	4.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	7	.7%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V10c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	879		
	Label	The victim had disciplinary problems at home		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	135	12.8%
	1	Yes	81	7.7%
	2	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	38	3.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V10d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	880		
	Label	The victim was lonely		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	125	11.9%
	1	Yes	84	8.0%
	2	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	45	4.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V10e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	881		
	Label	The victim used drugs		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	172	16.4%
	1	Yes	39	3.7%

	2	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	44	4.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V10f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	882		
	Label	The victim drank alcohol		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	156	14.8%
	1	Yes	53	5.0%
	2	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	46	4.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V11				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	883		
	Label	Before this crime had the victim ever run away from home?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	186	17.7%
	1	Yes	49	4.7%
	2		57	5.4%
	3	Victim is 9 yrs old or younger	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	20	1.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V12				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	884		
	Label	Did the victim have any kind of criminal history of history of offending?		
	Type	Numeric		

Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	216	20.6%
	1	Yes	26	2.5%
	2	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	13	1.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V13				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	885		
	Label	Did this involve any kind of sexual offending?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	16	1.5%
	1	Yes	9	.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1025	97.5%

V14				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	886		
	Label	Did the victim have any mental disabilities?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	219	20.8%
	1	Yes	22	2.1%
	2	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	14	1.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V15a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	887		

	Label	The mental disability was depression or bipolar or other emotional problem		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	11	1.0%
	1	Yes	10	1.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1029	97.9%

V15b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	888		
	Label	The mental disability was mentally challenged or developmentally delayed		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	12	1.1%
	1	Yes	9	.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1029	97.9%

V15c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	889		
	Label	The mental disability was a learning disability		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	12	1.1%
	1	Yes	9	.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1029	97.9%

V15d				
		Value	Count	Percent

Standard Attributes	Position	890		
	Label	The mental disability was something else		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	19	1.8%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1029	97.9%

V16				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	891		
	Label	Was there any evidence the victim may have been gay or questioning his or her sexual orientation?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	194	18.5%
	1	Yes	47	4.5%
	2		57	5.4%
	3	Victim is 9 yrs old or younger	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	14	1.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V17				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	892		
	Label	How much conflict would you say existed between the victim and his or her parents?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	1	No conflict at all	59	5.6%
	2	A little conflict	85	8.1%
	3	More than a little conflict	47	4.5%
	4	An extremely high degree of conflict	31	2.9%
	5	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%

Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	30	2.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	7	.7%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V18a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	893		
	Label	The victim was in love with the offender when it first became a police matter		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	188	17.9%
	1	Yes	59	5.6%
	2	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	9	.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V18b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	894		
	Label	The victim had a close friendship with the offender when it first became a police matter		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	187	17.8%
	1	Yes	60	5.7%
	2	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	9	.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V18c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	895		
	Label	The victim had a casual friendship with the offender when it first became a police matter		

	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	209	19.9%
	1	Yes	38	3.6%
	2	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	9	.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V18d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	896		
	Label	The victim no feelings or neutral feelings about the offender when it first became a police matter		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	214	20.4%
	1	Yes	34	3.2%
	2	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	8	.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V18e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	897		
	Label	The victim negative feelings about the offender when it first became a police matter		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	174	16.6%
	1	Yes	73	6.9%
	2	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	10	1.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	2	.2%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V18f				Count	Percent
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	898			
	Label	The offender was a family member			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information			
Valid Values	0	No		219	20.8%
	1	Yes		34	3.2%
	2	V was 9 or younger		57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		4	.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		2	.2%
	99	Not applicable		0	.0%
	System			735	69.9%

V19a				Count	Percent
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	899			
	Label	The victim was in love with the offender at any point during the crime			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information			
Valid Values	0	No		169	16.1%
	1	Yes		72	6.9%
	2	V was 9 or younger		57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		14	1.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		4	.4%
	99	Not applicable		0	.0%
	System			735	69.9%

V19b				Count	Percent
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	900			
	Label	The victim had a close friendship with the offender at any point during the crime			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information			
Valid Values	0	No		153	14.6%
	1	Yes		86	8.2%
	2	V was 9 or younger		57	5.4%
Missing	97	Do not know/Not sure		14	1.3%

Values	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	6	.6%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V19c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	901		
	Label	The victim had a casual friendship with the offender at any point during the crime		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	187	17.8%
	1	Yes	53	5.0%
	2	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	14	1.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	5	.5%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V19d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	902		
	Label	The victim no feelings or neutral feelings about the offender at any point during the crime		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	206	19.6%
	1	Yes	34	3.2%
	2	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	13	1.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	6	.6%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V19e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	903		
	Label	The victim negative feelings about the offender at any point during the crime		
	Type	Numeric		

Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	186	17.7%
	1	Yes	54	5.1%
	2	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	13	1.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	6	.6%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V19f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	904		
	Label	The offender was a family member		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	217	20.6%
	1	Yes	33	3.1%
	2	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	6	.6%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V20				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	905		
	Label	Where was the computer the victim used the most to correspond with the offender?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	1	Home	128	12.2%
	2	Work	0	.0%
	3	Some other household	7	.7%
	4	A library	3	.3%
	5	A school	2	.2%
	6	Some other place	1	.1%
	7	Laptop from multiple places	4	.4%
	8	Cell phones (including text messaging)	26	2.5%
	9	V was 9 or younger	3	.3%
Missing	97	Do not know/Not sure	8	.8%

Values	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		865	82.3%

V21				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	906		
	Label	Did the victim use wireless technology to access the Internet with this computer?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	82	7.8%
	1	Yes	44	4.2%
	3	Victim is 9 yrs old or younger	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	45	4.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		880	83.7%

V22				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	907		
	Label	Did the victim use a computer at any other location to correspond with the offender?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	125	11.9%
	1	Yes	20	1.9%
	2		3	.3%
	3	Victim is 9 yrs old or younger	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	31	2.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	7	.7%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		865	82.3%

V23a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	908		
	Label	The victim also used a computer at home		

Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	14	1.3%
	1	Yes	5	.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1031	98.1%

V23b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	909		
	Label	The victim also used a computer at work		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	19	1.8%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1031	98.1%

V23c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	910		
	Label	The victim also used a computer at some other household		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	7	.7%
	1	Yes	12	1.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1031	98.1%

V23d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	911		
	Label	The victim also used a computer		

at a library				
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	18	1.7%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1031	98.1%

V23e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	912		
	Label	The victim also used a computer at school		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	14	1.3%
	1	Yes	4	.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1031	98.1%

V23f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	913		
	Label	The victim also used a laptop or other digital device in multiple places		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	19	1.8%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1031	98.1%

V23g				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	914		

	Label	The victim also used a computer at some other location		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	19	1.8%
	1	Yes	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1031	98.1%

V24				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	915		
	Label	Did the victim use wireless technology to access the Internet with any of these computers?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	10	1.0%
	1	Yes	2	.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	8	.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1031	98.1%

V25				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	916		
	Label	Did the victim do anything to hide his or her Internet communications with the offender?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	99	9.4%
	1	Yes	49	4.7%
	2		3	.3%
	3	Victim is 9 yrs old or younger	0	.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	28	2.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	7	.7%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		865	82.3%

V26				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	917		
	Label	What did the victim to hide the communications?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	1	Use password protection	18	1.7%
	2	Use encryption	1	.1%
	3	Delete messages	21	2.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	8	.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1002	95.3%

V27				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	918		
	Label	Did investigators access V's SNS or online profile to gather evidence in this case?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	44	4.2%
	1	Yes	70	6.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	1	.1%
	System		935	89.0%

V28				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	919		
	Label	Did investigators access anyone elses social networking site to gather evidence in this case?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	215	20.5%
	1	Yes	58	5.5%
Missing	97	Do not know/Not sure	4	.4%

Values	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	39	3.7%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V29a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	920		
	Label	Investigators accessed a friends site		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	47	4.5%
	1	Yes	11	1.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		993	94.5%

V29b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	921		
	Label	Investigators accessed the offenders site		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	4	.4%
	1	Yes	54	5.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		993	94.5%

V29c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	922		
	Label	Investigators accessed someone elses site		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	47	4.5%
	1	Yes	11	1.0%

Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		993	94.5%

V30				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	923		
	Label	How cooperative was the victim at the start of the investigation?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	1	Not at all cooperative	31	2.9%
	2	Somewhat cooperative	83	7.9%
	3	Very cooperative	89	8.5%
	4	Extremely cooperative	45	4.3%
	5	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	7	.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V31				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	924		
	Label	Did this change as the investigation progressed?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	164	15.6%
	1	Yes	82	7.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		803	76.4%

V32				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	925		
	Label	How cooperative was the victim at the end of the investigation?		
	Type	Numeric		

Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information			
Valid Values	1	Not at all cooperative		6	.6%
	2	Somewhat cooperative		15	1.4%
	3	Very cooperative		37	3.5%
	4	Extremely cooperative		24	2.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		0	.0%
	99	Not applicable		0	.0%
	System			969	92.2%

V33					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	926			
	Label	How cooperative were the victims parents at the start of the investigation?			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information			
Valid Values	1	Not at all cooperative		26	2.5%
	2	Somewhat cooperative		38	3.6%
	3	Very cooperative		119	11.3%
	4	Extremely cooperative		110	10.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		9	.9%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		14	1.3%
	99	Not applicable		0	.0%
	System			735	69.9%

V34					
		Value		Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	927			
	Label	Did this change as the investigation progressed?			
	Type	Numeric			
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information			
Valid Values	0	No		253	24.1%
	1	Yes		39	3.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure		1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused		0	.0%
	99	Not applicable		0	.0%
	System			758	72.1%

V35

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	928		
	Label	How cooperative were the victims parents at the end of the investigation?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	1	Not at all cooperative	11	1.0%
	2	Somewhat cooperative	5	.5%
	3	Very cooperative	10	1.0%
	4	Extremely cooperative	13	1.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	0	.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1012	96.3%

V36a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	929		
	Label	The victim became pregnant because of her involvement in this crime		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	198	18.8%
	1	Yes	4	.4%
	2	V was 9 or younger or male	103	9.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	8	.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V36b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	930		
	Label	The victim acquired a sexually transmitted disease because of her involvement in this crime		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	228	21.7%
	1	Yes	6	.6%
	2	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%

Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	22	2.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	3	.3%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V36c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	931		
	Label	The victim developed a drug problem or a preexisting problem became worse because of her involvement in this crime		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	208	19.8%
	1	Yes	8	.8%
	2	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	23	2.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	20	1.9%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V36d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	932		
	Label	The victim developed an alcohol problem or a preexisting problem became worse because of her involvement in this crime		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	207	19.7%
	1	Yes	8	.8%
	2	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	24	2.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	20	1.9%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V36e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	933		
	Label	The victim had sudden weight gain or		

		loss because of her involvement in this crime		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	210	20.0%
	1	Yes	7	.7%
	2	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	22	2.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	20	1.9%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V36f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	934		
	Label	The victim experienced some other physical health problem because of her involvement in this crime		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	219	20.8%
	1	Yes	5	.5%
	2	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	15	1.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	20	1.9%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V38a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	935		
	Label	The victim had some other psychological health because of her involvement in this crime		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	215	20.5%
	1	Yes	6	.6%
	2	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	34	3.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

System			735	69.9%

V38b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	936		
	Label	The victim was cutting or engaging in other forms of self injury because of her involvement in this crime		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	212	20.2%
	1	Yes	7	.7%
	2	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	36	3.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V38c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	937		
	Label	The victim became depressed or an existing condition worsened because of her involvement in this crime		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	164	15.6%
	1	Yes	45	4.3%
	2	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	46	4.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V38d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	938		
	Label	The victim had other mental health problems begin or worsen because of her involvement in this crime		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		

Valid Values	0	No	191	18.2%
	1	Yes	19	1.8%
	2	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	45	4.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V38e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	939		
	Label	The victim had problems with relationships with family or friends because of her involvement in this crime		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	150	14.3%
	1	Yes	52	4.9%
	2	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	53	5.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V38f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	940		
	Label	The victim had declining grades or other problems at school because of her involvement in this crime		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	158	15.0%
	1	Yes	32	3.0%
	2	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	60	5.7%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	9	.9%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V38g			
	Value	Count	Percent

Standard Attributes	Position	941		
	Label	The victim had other problems because of her involvement in these crimes.		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	210	20.0%
	1	Yes	11	1.0%
	2	V was 9 or younger	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	32	3.0%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	6	.6%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V40				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	942		
	Label	Was the victim referred to an agency that could provide him or her with counseling and support?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	26	2.5%
	1	Yes	260	24.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	26	2.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		735	69.9%

V41a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	943		
	Label	The victim was referred to child protective services		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	148	14.1%
	1	Yes	96	9.1%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	15	1.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		791	75.3%

V41b			Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	944		
	Label	The victim was referred to a victim advocate or victims services agency		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	134	12.7%
	1	Yes	110	10.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	15	1.4%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		791	75.3%

V41c			Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	945		
	Label	The victim was referred to a child advocacy center		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	200	19.0%
	1	Yes	45	4.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	14	1.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		791	75.3%

V41d			Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	946		
	Label	The victim was referred to a sexual assault support agency		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	214	20.4%
	1	Yes	31	2.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	14	1.3%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%

System			791	75.3%

V41e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	947		
	Label	The victim was referred for mental health services		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	186	17.7%
	1	Yes	57	5.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	16	1.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		791	75.3%

V41f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	948		
	Label	The victim was referred to someplace else		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	228	21.7%
	1	Yes	18	1.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	13	1.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	1	.1%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		791	75.3%

V42				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	949		
	Label	Was the victim placed in residential or foster care by child protective services or other agency after this crime?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	267	25.4%
	1	Yes	40	3.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	5	.5%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	4	.4%

| 99 | Not applicable | 0 | .0% |
| System | | 735 | 69.9% |

V43a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	950		
	Label	The victim was returned or remained home		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	34	3.2%
	1	Yes	5	.5%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1011	96.2%

V43b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	951		
	Label	The victim was placed in a juvenile detention center		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	36	3.4%
	1	Yes	3	.3%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1011	96.2%

V43c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	952		
	Label	The victim was placed with a relative		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	31	2.9%
	1	Yes	8	.8%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%

98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
99	Not applicable	0	.0%
System		1011	96.2%

V43d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	953		
	Label	The victim was placed in a group home		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	33	3.1%
	1	Yes	6	.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1011	96.2%

V43e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	954		
	Label	The victim was placed in a treatment facility		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	30	2.9%
	1	Yes	9	.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1011	96.2%

V43f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	955		
	Label	The victim was placed with a foster family		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	26	2.5%
	1	Yes	13	1.2%

Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1011	96.2%

V43g				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	956		
	Label	The victim was placed somewhere else		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Victim Information		
Valid Values	0	No	35	3.3%
	1	Yes	4	.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1011	96.2%

C01				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	957		
	Label	Have the respondent been able to attend any training in how to investigate Internet-related crimes?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Respondent Information		
Valid Values	0	No	84	8.0%
	1	Yes	543	51.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		422	40.2%

C02a				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	958		
	Label	SEARCH provided the training		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Respondent Information		
Valid Values	0	No	408	38.8%

	1	Yes	72	6.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		569	54.1%

C02b				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	959		
	Label	ICAC Task Force or NCMEC or DOJ or OJJDP or FVTC provided the training		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Respondent Information		
Valid Values	0	No	162	15.4%
	1	Yes	351	33.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		536	51.0%

C02c				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	960		
	Label	Some other state or regional or local LEA provided the training		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Respondent Information		
Valid Values	0	No	260	24.7%
	1	Yes	241	22.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		548	52.1%

C02d				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	961		
	Label	FBI or other federal LEA provided the training		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Respondent Information		

Valid Values	0	No	227	21.6%
	1	Yes	265	25.2%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		557	53.0%

C02e				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	962		
	Label	The National White Collar Crime Center provided the training		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Respondent Information		
Valid Values	0	No	352	33.5%
	1	Yes	132	12.6%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		565	53.8%

C02f				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	963		
	Label	Dallas CAC Conference provided the training		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Respondent Information		
Valid Values	0	No	330	31.4%
	1	Yes	151	14.4%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		568	54.0%

C02g				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	964		
	Label	Some other place provided the training		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom	Section	Respondent Information		

Attributes				
Valid Values	0	No	402	38.2%
	1	Yes	74	7.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		573	54.5%

C04				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	965		
	Label	How many years have you been investigating child sexual exploitation cases?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Respondent Information		
Valid Values	1	Less than 1 year	23	2.2%
	2	1-2 yrs	66	6.3%
	3	2-3 yrs	81	7.7%
	4	3-4 yrs	78	7.4%
	5	4-5 yrs	77	7.3%
	6	5-6 yrs	52	4.9%
	7	6-7 yrs	40	3.8%
	8	7 or more years	199	18.9%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	2	.2%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	7	.7%
	System		426	40.5%

C05				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	966		
	Label	In 2006 did you generally work with a multidisciplinary team when investigating child sexual exploitation cases?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Respondent Information		
Valid Values	0	No	314	29.9%
	1	Yes	620	59.0%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	8	.8%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	13	1.2%

	System		96	9.1%

C06				
		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	967		
	Label	In there anything else that would be important to know about this case that we have not discussed?		
	Type	Numeric		
Custom Attributes	Section	Respondent Information		
Valid Values	0	No	873	83.1%
	1	Yes	176	16.7%
Missing Values	97	Do not know/Not sure	1	.1%
	98	Not ascertainable/Refused	0	.0%
	99	Not applicable	0	.0%
	System		1	.1%